

2.3 Introduction to Responses to Comments

Each comment letter has been assigned a letter code based on the initials of the commenter or agency/organization acronym. Individual comments within each letter have been assigned an alphanumeric comment identification code based on the letter code and comment number; for example, the first comment in the letter from the Bayshore Sanitary District is BSD-1.

The City's responses to comments are set forth as either "master responses" or "individual responses." A master response provides a comprehensive discussion of a "theme" of similar comments that were raised in multiple comments or by multiple entities. An individual response, by contrast, addresses a specific comment raised by a specific commenter. Section 2.4 presents the following master responses:

- 2.4.1 Master Response 1: Program vs. Project EIR
- 2.4.2 Master Response 2: Role of "Feasibility" in CEQA
- 2.4.3 Master Response 3: Monitoring and Enforcement of Mitigation Measures; Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program
- 2.4.4 Master Response 4: Relative Roles of CEQA and Planning Review
- 2.4.5 Master Response 5: Compliance with the Law as Mitigation
- 2.4.6 Master Response 6: "Significant Unavoidable" Impacts and "Unacceptable" Impacts; Role of Overriding Considerations
- 2.4.7 Master Response 7: Use of 2010 as the Existing Setting Baseline Year
- 2.4.8 Master Response 8, Biological Resources: Level of Detail in the Biological Resources Analysis
- 2.4.9 Master Response 9, Biological Resources: Identification of Wetlands
- 2.4.10 Master Response 10, Biological Resources: Analysis of Previous Actions that may have Affected Wetlands
- 2.4.11 Master Response 11, Cultural Resources: Significance of Historical and Cultural Resources that may be Found Onsite
- 2.4.12 Master Response 12, Geology and Seismicity: Adequacy of the Characterization of Seismic Hazards within the Baylands
- 2.4.13 Master Response 13, Hazards and Hazardous Materials: Review Process and Responsibilities for Site Remediation and Title 27 Landfill Closure
- 2.4.14 Master Response 14, Hazards and Hazardous Materials: Risk-Based Remediation Standards
- 2.4.15 Master Response 15, Hazards and Hazardous Materials: Adequacy of the Waste Characterization Used in the Draft EIR

- 2.4.16 Master Response 16, Hazards and Hazardous Materials: Liability in relation to Potential Hazards; Indemnification of the City from Possible Future Loss of Property of Use
- 2.4.17 Master Response 17, Hazards and Hazardous Materials: Potential for Cross-Contamination and Cumulative Effects of Multiple Toxins
- 2.4.18 Master Response 18, Land Use: Is a Public Vote Required to Amend the Brisbane General Plan?
- 2.4.19 Master Response, 19, Land Use: Environmental Impacts and Land Use Compatibility in Relation to Specific Locations
- 2.4.20 Master Response 20, Land Use: Environmental Impacts and Land Use Compatibility in Relation to the Proposed Recology Expansion
- 2.4.21 Master Response 21, Public Services and Utilities: Responsibilities for Construction and Maintenance of Public Facilities and Infrastructure Needed for Baylands Development
- 2.4.22 Master Response 22, Traffic and Circulation: Land Use Growth and Related Traffic Volume Used to Forecast Baseline Traffic under Cumulative Conditions
- 2.4.23 Master Response 23, Traffic and Circulation: Impacts of the Proposed Project on US 101 Mainline Segments under both Existing and Cumulative Conditions
- 2.4.24 Master Response 24, Traffic and Circulation: Applicability of Using TDM Measures to Mitigate Impacts Caused to C/CAG's Congestion Management Program (CMP) Network
- 2.4.25 Master Response 25, Traffic and Circulation: Jobs and Housing Linkage; Calculation of Internal Capture in Project Trip Generation
- 2.4.26 Master Response 26, Traffic and Circulation: Closely Spaced Intersections -- Consideration of Queue Spillback from Other Road Facilities at Study Intersections
- 2.4.27 Master Response 27, Traffic and Circulation: Inclusion of New Development in Existing Baseline (No Project) Traffic Volume
- 2.4.28 Master Response 28, Traffic and Transportation: Location of the Bayshore Caltrain Station Assumed in the Draft EIR
- 2.4.29 Master Response 29, Water Supply: Assured Water Supply for Proposed Baylands Development in Relation to the Need for Future Engineering Design and CEQA Documentation for Specific Methods and Facilities for Water Delivery
- 2.4.30 Master Response 30, Windsurfing: Significance Criteria for Analysis of Windsurfing Impacts
- 2.4.31 Master Response 31, Windsurfing: Use of a Wind Tunnel in Analyzing Wind Impacts
- 2.4.32 Master Response 32, Windsurfing: Windsurfing Area at the Candlestick Point State Recreation Area

2.4.33 Master Response 33, Windsurfing: Alternative Analysis Methodology

2.4.34 Master Response 34, Windsurfing: Adequacy of the Project Description used in Analyzing Impacts of Windsailing

2.4.35 Master Response 35, Alternatives: Comparison of Project Development Scenarios and Alternatives

Sections 2.5 through 2.10 include responses to each individual comment, although a response may sometimes refer to either a master response or another response. The responses to the individual comment letters in Sections 2.5 through 2.10 are organized as follows:

2.5 Individual Responses to Comments from State Agencies

2.6 Individual Responses to Comments from Regional Agencies

2.7 Individual Responses to Comments from Special Districts

2.8 Individual Responses to Comments from Municipalities

2.9 Individual Responses to Comments from Organizations

2.10 Individual Responses to Comments from Individuals

Many comments received on the Draft EIR raised planning issues or provided background information, and did not address the adequacy of the Draft EIR or its analyses and conclusions. Such comments did not identify any significant environmental issue requiring a response. While the City, as the CEQA Lead Agency, acknowledges their receipt, only limited responses are provided to comments that do not address the adequacy of the Draft EIR, its analyses and conclusions, or that do not identify any significant environmental issue.

Where a response to a comment requires revisions to the text of the Draft EIR, such revisions are shown in underline for additions and ~~striketrough~~ for deletions. Chapter 3 of the Final EIR contains a consolidated set of all changes made to the Draft EIR that resulted from: (1) changes made in response to the comments received on the Draft EIR; or (2) City staff-initiated changes to correct errors or to clarify information presented in the Draft EIR.

This page intentionally left blank