

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

**Response**

\*\*DENSE HOUSING, COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT, NEW FREEWAY ON AND OFF RAMPS, SOME OPEN SPACE

PLAN TO DEVELOP REMEDIATE AREA WITH SHOPS, OPEN SPACE HOUSING

I KNOW THAT A LARGE DEVELOPER WANTS TO BUILD ABOUT 4,400 UNITS OF HOUSING ON AN UNREGULATED TOXIC LANDFILL, AND THAT THERE ARE A NUMBER OF REASONS THAT WOULD NOT BE GOOD FOR BRISBANE.

THERE ARE CONCERNS THAT THE BAYLANDS WILL NOT BE PROPERLY CLEANED UP OF ITS TOXIC CONTAMINATION AND THAT THEY WANT TO BUILD AN EXCESS OF 4,000 PLUS RESIDENTIAL HOUSING UNITS.

I'VE SEEN PROPOSED MAPS, READ ARTICLES ABOUT DEBATING WHETHER TO HAVE HOUSING OR NOT. I KNOW PLANS INCLUDE AREA TO HAVE PARKS AND OPEN SPACE, LOTS OF GREEN TECH, AND A TRANSPORTATION HUB

BIGGEST CONCERN IS THE IDEA OF TRIPLING RESIDENTIAL HOUSING UNITS. THE MAGIC OF BRISBANE IS THE SMALL SIZE. DON'T SCREW THAT UP!

THAT THEY WANT TO BUILD A BUNCH OF HOUSES...

UPC PLANS TO DEVELOP THE BAYLANDS WITH OVER 4000 NEW HOUSING UNITS. I THINK THIS IS OBSCENE AND WILL RUIN BRISBANE ENTIRELY, PARTICULARLY WHEN THE INEVITABLE SHOPPING MALLS, EMERGE. THERE WOULD BE WAY TOO MANY PEOPLE, CARS, TRAFFIC CONGESTION AND CRIME.

I VISITED THE BAYLANDS DEVELOPMENT PAGE AND READ THAT THE AREA WILL BE CLEARED OF ENVIRONMENTAL POLLUTANTS. THERE WILL BE A DEVELOPMENT THAT INCLUDES, SHOPPING, ENTERTAINMENT, AND HOUSING, WHILE ALSO MAINTAINING A NATURAL WETLANDS WITH A WALKING TRAIL AND OTHER AMENITIES.

PROPOSALS INCLUDE RETAIL AND HOUSING WHICH IS UNCONSCIONABLE WITH THE TOXIC WASTE THAT HAS BEEN FOUND THERE. I HAVE SEEN COUNTER-PROPOSALS THAT INCLUDE WIND POWER AND OTHER GREEN ENERGY DEVELOPMENTS.

THE DEVELOPER'S PLAN IS TO REMEDIATE THE POLLUTION AND THEN TO BUILD A MIX OF COMMERCIAL, RETAIL AND HOUSING. THE CITIES PLAN IS THE SAME BUT WITHOUT HOUSING AND POSSIBLY ALSO ALTERNATIVE ENERGY SOURCES.

BUILDING HOUSING ON HAZARDOUS TOXIC LANDFILL. BUILDING A WIND FARM. BUILDING RETAIL ON HAZARDOUS TOXIC LANDFILL. GENERAL BUILDING ON HAZARDOUS TOXIC LANDFILL.

PLANS I'VE HEARD OR READ INCLUDE HOUSING, RETAIL, GROCERY STORE, SCHOOL, RENEWABLE ENERGY GENERATION FACILITIES, R&D MANUFACTURING, OFFICE SPACE, PUBLIC OPEN SPACE, CAL TRAIN RAILYARD, RECREATION, EXPANSION OF RECOLOGY.

WILL COST \$1 BILLION TO CLEAN UP TOXIC WASTE. UPC WANTS TO BUILD 4K HOMES TO MAKE IT FEASIBLE TO DO THE CLEANUP. MANY PEOPLE IN THE CITY ARE AGAINST HOMES BEING BUILT, BUT WANT THE OFFICE DEVELOPMENT.

THE SITE IS TO BE DEVELOPED FOR HOUSING, PARKS, SCHOOLS AND RETAIL. THERE WAS TALK OF BUILDING AN ARENA.

SHOPPING, HOUSING, TRANSPORTATION CENTER, HIGH SCHOOL AND PARKS AND OPEN SPACE. IN A NUT SHELL.

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

THE PROJECT WILL COMBINE RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT WITH SOME OPEN PARK LAND. BUT FOR THE PROJECT TO BE FINANCIALLY WORTH WHILE TO THE DEVELOPER, MORE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IS NEEDED THAN WOULD BE EXPECTED. THE DEVELOPER PROMISES TO BRING SHOPPING NECESSITIES TO THE AREA THROUGH THE PROJE

FOR ALMOST TEN YEARS A GROUP CALLED UPC HAS BEEN TRYING TO GET APPROVAL FOR A LARGE DEVELOPMENT PROJECT THAT WOULD INCLUDE OPEN SPACE, HOUSING, RETAIL AND OFFICE SPACE. THE PROJECT IS SUPPOSED TO TAKE THIRTY YEARS TO FINISH AND CREATE MORE THAN 10,000 JOBS.

I'VE HEARD THAT THEY PLAN TO BUILD HOUSING, A HOTEL, SHOPPING AND OPEN SPACE FOR RECREATION.

UNIVERSAL PARAGON'S PLANS TO ADD HOUSING, OFFICES AND HOTELS. GOOD COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT. APPRECIATE THEIR OPENING A STOREFRONT ON VISITACION. UNDERSTAND CONTROVERSY WITH SOME WANTING AN ENERGY FARM (NOT ME).

EVERYTHING FROM A WIND AND SOLAR FARM TO A POP-UP SPORTS COMPLEX TO RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT.

COMMERCIAL AND HOUSING PLANNED FOR THE BAYLANDS.

THE BAYLANDS IS LOOKING TO BEING DEVELOPED INTO HOUSING OR SOME SORT OF BUILDING/PARK COMPLEX

THERE ARE TWO DIFFERENT PROPOSALS COMING FROM UNIVERSAL PARAGON, BOTH INVOLVE A LARGE AMOUNT OF NEW HOUSING. ONE OF THE COMMUNITY-SPONSORED PLANS INVOLVES PROMOTING INDUSTRY AND NO HOUSING.

EXPANSION OF BRISBANE TO INCLUDE ADDITIONAL RETAIL OUTLETS AND POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL LIVING SPACE.

DEVELOPMENT OF RETAIL SHOPPING AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPER WANTS TO CONSTRUCT SHOPPING AND HOUSING.

I KNOW THAT THE DEVELOPER HAS SUBMITTED A PLAN THAT INVOLVES HOUSING, AS WELL AS OTHER USES. I ALSO KNOW THAT THERE ALTERNATIVE PLANS THAT HAVE BEEN PROPOSED BY ENTITIES WITHIN THE COMMUNITY.

DEVELOPMENT TO INCLUDE OFFICES, RETAIL AND PARK LANDS. PERHAPS SOME RESIDENTIAL HOUSING

I HAVE HEARD AND READ THAT THIS IS A VERY HUGE PROJECT, MIXED USE, WITH 4000 POSSIBLE LIVING UNITS AND NUMEROUS COMMERCIAL STRUCTURES AND UNITS. SEEMS LIKE A VERY SEPARATE AND DIFFERENT DEVELOPMENT THAN THE REST OF BRISBANE! TO ME THIS MEANS, IT IS NOT BLENDING IN VERY WELL AND THAT AT A MASSIVE S

DON'T LET XX CONVINCING BRISBANE CITIZENS AND GOVERNMENT THAT BUILDING HOUSING ON THE BAYLANDS, WHICH IS A TOXIC WASTE DUMP, IS A GOOD IDEA. XX AND PEOPLE LIKE XX ARE BAD FOR BRISBANE, AND BAD FOR FAMILIES WHO WOULD CONSIDER LIVING IN BAYLANDS HOUSING.

PLANS FOR MASSIVE DEVELOPEMENT

LARGEST PIECE OF DEVELOPABLE PROPERTY IN SAN MATEO COUNTY AND THE POPULATION OF BRISBANE IS APPROX 1-2 PERCENT OF THE COUNTY

A SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT THAT IS ENERGY-EFFICIENT (NEUTRAL?), A TRANSPORTATION HUB, AND PROVIDES MIXED USE.

OVER-DEVELOPMENT AT THE EXPENSE OF A WORLD-CLASS ENERGY SUSTAINABLE PROJECT. TOO MANY EMPTY BUSINESSES AND BUILDINGS IN THIS AREA (LEVITZ?) ALREADY. HOW ABOUT A PEOPLE-FRIENDLY PROJECT AND NOT MORE SPRAWL ? RECREATION, EDUCATION, AND SOLAR FIELDS -- NOT MORE MINDLESS GROWTH. OTHER CITIES IN EUR

I'VE BEEN ON THREE TOURS OF THE BAYLANDS PROVIDED BY THE DEVELOPMENT COMPANY. I'VE FOLLOWED THE LOCAL ON-LINE DISCUSSIONS (FACEBOOK, ETC) REGARDING THE PROJECT. I'VE READ SOME OF THE EIR.

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

I TOOK THE TOUR. I HAVE BEEN TO THE CENTER AT VISITACION AVE. I HAVE HAD CONVERSATIONS. I HAVE READ MATERIAL.

I HAVE REVIEWED THE ENVIRONMENTAL REPORTS AND THE PLANS THAT HAVE BEEN POSTED ONLINE. ADDITIONALLY, I HAVE PARTICIPATED IN COMMUNITY DISCUSSIONS REGARDING THE PROJECT.

I HAVE READ PORTIONS FOR THE DEIR AND HAVE THE FEIR. I HAVE MET WITH JOHN SWEICKE ABOUT ISSUES CONCERNING THE BADLANDS AND HAVE ATTENDED PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS

PARTICIPATED IN AN ORGANIZED AND THOROUGH REVIEW OF THE DRAFT EIR AS A MEMBER OF A CITY COMMITTEE.

I HAVE SPOKEN WITH THOSE WHO HAVE READ THE DEIR IN CREATE DETAIL ATTENDED MULTIPLE COMMUNITY MEETINGS, MET WITH UPC REP, SAT ON COMMITTEE TO HELP REVIEW DEIR

I'M ON THE BRISBANE CITY COUNCIL AND CHAIR THE SUSTAINABILITY COMMITTEE FOR THE BAYLANDS DEVELOPMENT.

THE EIR, SEVERAL TALKS AND ARTICLES, CONVERSATIONS WITH NEIGHBORS, RESIDNETS OF BRISBANE FACEBOOK CONVERSATIONS

I HAVE READ THE DRAFT SPECIFIC PLAN, DEIR AND AM IN THE PROCESS OF READING THE FEIR READ/COMMENTED ON BAYLANDS DEIR; HELPED PRODUCE BAYLANDS SUSTAINABILITY GUIDANCE

ALL THAT HAS BEEN MADE AVAILABLE PUBLICALLY THROUGH THE CITY (DEIR, FEIR)AND THE BBCAG AND OTHER SOURCES.

ATTENDED VARIOUS WORKSHOPS, PLANNING COMMISSION AND CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS REGARDING THE BAYLANDS. LOOKED AT THE BAYLAND EIR RELATED DOCUMENTS. VISITED VARIOUS AREAS OF THE BAYLAND. SEEN HISTORICAL DOCUMENTS ABOUT THE BAYLANDS AND ITS ORIGINS. ATTENDED SOME BBCAG MEETINGS.

I RECEIVE NOTICE OF THE MEETINGS; I SEE THERE IS A STOREFRONT OFFICE ON VISITACION. WE TALK AMONGST OURSELVES. I WENT TO 1 MEETING AND AM ACTUALLY PLANNING ON GETTING MORE INVOLVED.

TOOK A TOUR, READ THE INFORMATION THAT COMES OUT ABOUT THE BAYLANDS

I HAVE READ ABOUT THE PLANS ONLINE AND LIKE MOST OF WHAT I HAVE SEEN, ESPECIALLY THE RECENT IDEAS REGARDING WIND FARMS AND A SOLAR PANEL GENERATING FACILITY. ONE ITEM I WISH WAS ADDRESSED WAS LOCATING A CALTRANS STATION WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE TO DOWNTOWN BRISBANE AND/OR HAVING THE BART AND CALT

I DID THE FOCUS GROUP ON THE BAYLANDS. ALSO READ ABOUT IT.

THERE HAVE BEEN MANY COMMUNICATIONS

THERE ARE OBVIOUSLY MAJOR DEVELOPMENT INTERESTS WITH HUGE FORTUNES TO BE MADE OFF THE USE OF THE BAYLANDS WHO HAVE, COMPARED TO CITIZEN AND TOWN RESOURCES, EFFECTIVELY UNLIMITED DEEP POCKETS TO LOBBY AND PUSH THEIR COMMERCIAL AND FUNDAMENTALLY PROFIT DRIVEN AGENDAS. ONLY THE CURRENT AND FUTURE RESID

UPC PROPOSES RESIDENTIAL HOUSING AS PART OF ITS CONCEPT PLAN. RESIDENTS DO NOT WANT RESIDENTIAL HOUSING IN THE BAYLANDS.

I'VE SEEN THE EIS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS. I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THE SLOW PACE OF MAKING A DECISION AND STARTING TO BUILD AND COMPLETE THE PROJECT. THERE IS TOO MUCH RESISTANCE TO PROGRESS AND DECISIONS NEED TO BE MADE QUICKER AND IN THE INTEREST OF INCREASING RESIDENTS ENJOYMENT OF MORE LOCAL AVAILABIL

SERIOUSLY? BE AS SPECIFIC AS POSSIBLE EVEN THOUGH I'VE READ AND HEARD A LOT. THIS IS A SILLY BOX.

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

BOTTOM LINE; THE OWNER OF THE LAND WILL TRY TO MAKE AS MUCH MONEY AS POSSIBLE. THE CITY OF BRISBANE IS NOT A FACTOR TO THEM.

THEY WANT TO BUILD HOUSING ON UNSAFE LAND.

I'VE READ ABOUT THE CONTAMINATION AND POSSIBLE PLANS FOR HOUSING

I'VE READ ABOUT PLANS FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY FARM, RECREATIONAL AREAS PLUS HOUSING.

THAT DEVELOPMENT IS COMING AND WILL INCLUDE OPEN SPACE AND HOUSING

PLAN TO CREATE NEW HOUSING AREA TO MEET THE CITY'S REQUIREMENT FOR LOW COST HOUSING. PLAN TO BUILD A MIX OF HOUSING FOR BOTH LOW AND HIGH COST RESIDENTS.

THEY ARE LOOKING TO DEVELOP RETAIL AND INDUSTRIAL SPACE IN THE BAYLANDS, AND THE PRESENT ARGUMENT IS WHETHER OR NOT IT WILL INCLUDE RESIDENTIAL.

DEVELOP COMMERCIAL AND OFFICE SPACE AND ADD PUBLIC TRANSIT

MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT IS PLANNED FOR THE BAYLANDS.

SHOPPING, APARTMENTS, PARKS AND RENEWABLE ENERGY BUILDS.

OPTION 1 IS TO BUILD HOUSING, COMMERCIAL SPACE, RETAIL STORES, BETTER TRANSPORT, PARKS, ETC. OPTION 2 IS TO BUILD MORE SOLAR PANELS, SOME RETAIL STORES, MORE PARKS, ETC.

PROPOSED OFFICE BUILDINGS, RETAIL, HOUSING, ENTERTAINMENT, HISTORICAL PRESERVATION, RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE.

IT LOOKS TO BE A HOUSING, RETAIL AND BUSINESS PARK DEVELOPMENT. I BELIEVE I ALSO READ IT WILL TIE INTO NEARBY PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION?

SOME BASIC PROPOSALS FOR DEVELOPMENT OF RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES BETWEEN 101 AND BAYSHORE.

PLANS TO BRING ABOUT MORE SHOPS/HOUSING WHILE MAINTAINING THE INTEGRITY OF THE LAND.

MIXED COMMERCIAL, INDUSTRIAL, AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT ON A CONTAMINATED SITE. PEOPLE ARE WORRIED THAT IT CAN'T BE COMPLETELY CLEANED AND THAT MORE PEOPLE WILL DILUTE THEIR VOTES FOR CONTROL OF BRISBANE.

PLANS TO CREATE MARKET RATE HOUSING & RETAIL. I AM IN FAVOR OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR LOW INCOME RESIDENTS MIXED IN WITH MARKET RATE HOUSING.

I HAVE HEARD THAT THERE ARE PLANS TO CREATE HOUSING AND RETAIL SPACE IN THE BAYLANDS.

PLANS PROPOSED ARE FOR MIXED USE (RETAIL, HOUSING, LIGHT INDUSTRIAL).

DEVELOP INTO HOUSING, BUSINESS, COMMERCIAL

A DEVELOPER WANTS TO TURN THIS SWATH OF LAND INTO A MIXED-USE SPACE. OVER BLOATED PLAN WHICH WILL LINE THE DEVELOPER'S POCKETS - COMPLETELY OUT OF STEP WITH THE HEART AND SOUL OF BRISBANE.

SHOPPING AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PLANS

THE AREA IS TOXIC AND THEY TALK OF BUILDING THERE

I'VE SEEN PLANS. HEARD ABOUT THE CLEAN-UP. HEARD RUMORS AND IDEAS.

VARIOUS PLANS FOR COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT BY UNIVERSAL PARAGON, BUT NOTHING SPECIFIC. TOXIC WASTE CLEANUP WILL BE DIFFICULT.

CONTAMINATED SOIL, BURIED TOXIC WASTE FROM OLD LANDFILL. SEVERAL PROPOSALS SUBMITTED TO DEVELOP THE LAND, NONE APPROVED BY THE CITY YET. EIR IS AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC REVIEW.

I'VE READ AND SEEN VERY LITTLE. IT SEEMS THAT IT HAS BEEN IN DEVELOPMENT FOR YEARS AND I STILL DO NOT HAVE A GRASP ON WHAT TYPE OF RETAIL/HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IS IN THE WORKS. I THINK IT'S BEEN CAREFULLY CONSIDERED AND I HOPE THAT VISIBLE PROGRESS WILL BE MADE IN THE COMING YEAR. THERE IS A SENSE OF

IT WOULD TAKE QUITE A BIT OF TIME. HAVEN'T HEARD ANY MORE DETAILS.

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

THEY WANT TO BUILD AND MAKE US LIKE DALY CITY

THAT ITS GOING TO BE A DEVELOPMENT OF SOME TYPE IN THE FUTURE.

THAT THE PUBLIC IS OFTEN INVITED TO HEAR ABOUT UPDATES AND TO TOUR THE BAYLANDS. I SEE THE ADS FOR THIS IN THE BRISBANE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE NEWSLETTER. I ALSO KNOW THERE IS AN OFFICE DOWNTOWN FOR THE BAYLANDS.

SAW MAYOR PRESENTATION AT RIEW POINT AT THE RIDGE BOD MEETING OVER A YEAR AGO.

I'VE READ THE INFORMATIONAL FLYERS POSTED ON THE WINDOWS OF THE BAYLANDS PROJECT OFFICE LOCATED ON VISITACION AVENUE ( MAIN STREET ) IN DOWNTOWN BRISBANE.

SF CHRONICLE ADD, LOCAL NEWSPAPER, AND BY JOGGING AROUND THE BAYLANDS

I'VE SEEN THE OFFICE ON VISITACION AND HAVE READ SOME GENERAL INFORMATION INCLUDING THE RECENT ARTICLE IN THE SF CHRONICLE.

I HAVE SEEN SOME PROPOSED ALTERNATIVES ON MAPS AND ONLINE DIALOGS

HAVE READ SOME OPINIONS, PROS AND CONS. CONCERNS ABOUT CLEAN UP BEFORE BUILDING. ALSO CONCERNS ABOUT TRAFFIC AND ADDITIONAL WATER USAGE FOR SO MANY NEW USERS.

TOO MUCH REPEAT INFORMATION AND NO PROGRESS INDICATIONS.

I have heard and read that this is a very huge project, mixed use, with 4000 possible living units and numerous commercial structures and units. Seems like a very separate and different development than the rest of Brisbane! To me this means, it is not blending in very well and that at a massive s

THE BAY LANDS HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO BE SAFELY DEVELOPED; A MIX OF COMMERCIAL, RESIDENTIAL, AND RECREATIONAL ACCOMMODATIONS CAN BE PROVIDED.

GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO GROW THE CITY'S BUSINESS BASE AND PROSPERITY

MULTI BUILDING STORES APTS AND OPEN AREAS EQUALS MORE TRAFFIC

SCARED OF DEVELOPMENT BY UPC: TOO MANY HOMES AND BUSINESSES WILL RUIN ENVIRONMENT AND AREA.

HOUSING AND BIG DEVELOPMENT.

LAND IS ORIGINALLY CITY DUMP NOW REMEDIATE/LANDOWNER WANTS TO DEVELOP SHOPS/OPEN SPACE/ NEW INITIATIVE TO INSTALL ALTERNATIVE ENERGY

I HAVE HEARD ABOUT THE CLEAN UP FOR MANY YEARS AND THE POSSIBILITY OF PLANNED DEVELOPMENT WITH LITTLE ACTION OR PROGRESS

I'M CONCERNED THAT IT WOULD BE A GREAT MISTAKE TO TRY TO RE-USE SUCH AN OVERLY-ABUSED PROPERTY IN SUCH A SHORT PERIOD OF TIME. IT SHOULD TAKE DECADES OF DECLINATION AND REMEDIATION BEFORE THEY ARE READY FOR HABITATION AT THE LEVEL UPC PERCEIVES. 20 YEARS

THE AREA NEEDS TO BE DEVELOPED IN ORDER TO FUND IT'S CLEAN UP

Don't let XX convince Brisbane citizens and government that building housing on the Baylands, which is a toxic waste dump, is a good idea. XX and people like XX are BAD for Brisbane, and bad for families who would consider living in Baylands housing.

THAT LARGE DEVELOPMENTS ARE PLANNED TO BE BUILT ON LAND THAT IS LARGELY TOXIC WASTE

EXPANSION (16 ACRES) OF RECOLOGY(SCAVENGER RUN OPERATION) GARBAGE FACILITY BRINGING MORE FILTH TO OUR ONCE BEFORE BAY LAND

ENVIRONMENTAL STUDIES HAVE BEEN CONDUCTED, MULTIPLE PLANS AND IDEAS HAVE BEEN PREPARED, AND THE CITY AND RESIDENTS WILL BE GOOD WITH THE PLANNING DECISION.

UPC'S PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT/WIND & SOLAR ENERGY IDEAS

READ THE BAY LANDS DEVELOPMENT PLAN

Plans for massive developement

PROPOSALS

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

I'VE SEEN PLANS FOR POTENTIAL LAND USAGE

VARIOUS PLANS. IT'S TAKING WAY TOO LONG TO TAKE ACTION ON A PLAN.

Largest piece of developable property in San Mateo county and the population of Brisbane is approx 1-2 percent of the county

PLANS FOR HUGE DEVELOPMENT BY PARAGON

DEVELOPING THE BAY LANDS SINCE 1990 PLEASE DO IT WE NEED TO PROGRESS INTO THE 25TH CENTURY BEFORE IT GETS HERE

I HAVE HEARD AND SEEN A GREAT DEAL BUT I HAVEN'T PAID CLOSE ATTENTION BECAUSE NOTHING EVER HAPPENS, NO PROGRESS IS MADE.

I'VE READ UP, WANTS TO DEVELOP AND THERE ARE LOTS OF CONTAMINANTS

IT'S GOING TO BE DEVELOPED FOR THE GREATER BENEFIT TO BRISBANE AND THE CITY GOVERNMENT

A sustainable development that is energy-efficient (neutral?), a transportation hub, and provides mixed use.

THE PUBLISHED PROPOSALS AND COMMENTARY

I'M FOR DEVELOPMENT AND PROFIT.

DEVELOPMENT VERY LONG TIME IN PLANNING AND DISCUSSION

MAJOR IMPACT AND DEVELOPMENT ALONGSIDE BRISBANE. I AM CONCERNED.

THERE SEEMS TO BE NOW IN THE PAST FEW YEARS SEVERAL PLANS AND CHANGING TIME LINES FOR THE BEGINNING AND OR FINISH

PARAGON WANTS TO CREATE SHALE NEW UNSUSTAINABLE CITY-CREOLE WANTS A SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

I HAVE ADDRESSED THE BECALM ON POLLUTION PROBLEMS; I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THE DIRECTION OF BAY LANDS DEVELOPMENT

A GENERAL PLAN HAS BEEN MADE BUT IT IS A WORK IN PROGRESS SEEKING MORE INPUT PLANS FOR SUBSTANTIAL NEW HOUSING WHICH IS NOT WANTED.

TOO MUCH FUTURE DEVELOPMENT

MASSIVE DEVELOPMENT/EXTREME CHANGE. IT WILL INALTERABLY CHANGE THE NATURE OF BRISBANE'S CHARACTER IN THE FUTURE.

DUE TO DEVELOPMENT, THERE WOULD BE A MAJOR IMPACT ON THE CITIZENS OF BRISBANE; ALSO LOCAL BUSINESSES AND JOBS.

IMPROVE CONNECTIONS TO PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION PRESERVE AND ENHANCE NATURAL OPEN SPACES; LIMIT SPRAWL AND TRAFFIC; USE INNOVATIVE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT PLANS.

CHRONICLE ARTICLE 9-8-15, WE NEED MORE OPEN SPACE AND WIND/SOLAR POWER

OVER-development at the expense of a world-class energy sustainable project. Too many empty businesses and buildings in this area (Levitz?) already. How about a people-friendly project and not MORE SPRAWL ? Recreation, education, and solar fields -- not more mindless growth. Other cities in Eur

OPEN SPACE VERSUS HOUSING

THAT BRISBANE MAY RUIN THE AREA IF TREATED AS AN EXPERIMENT

\*I HAVE HEARD THAT THE DEVELOPMENT IS TAKING TOO LONG AND THE DEVELOPING PARTNERS ARE NOT PLANNING ENOUGH SUSTAINABILITY TO KEEP CITY COST OF MAINTENANCE LOW ENOUGH

\*OPTIONS FOR ITS ULTIMATE DISPOSITION

MANY MEETINGS, ABOUT MEETINGS, ABOUT MEETINGS, RE: WHOSE PLANS ARE BEST.

I've been on three tours of the Baylands provided by the development company. I've followed the local on-line discussions (Facebook, etc) regarding the project. I've read some of the EIR.

TOURED SIGHT WITH UNIVERSAL PARAGON AND READ D.E.I.R. AND ATTENDED MEETINGS.

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

I WAS ON OSEC AND CITCOM-SEEN THE PLANS READ PORTIONS OF THE DEIR, TALKED WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS, TOURED THE BAY LANDS. ETC

LISTENED TO WORKSHOPS COUNCIL MEETINGS PLANNING COMMUNITY MEETINGS TOURED BAY LANDS

I HAVE TAKEN U.P.C.'S TOUR OF THE BAY LANDS; HAVE DISCUSSED ASPECTS OF TIAS DEIR WITH BRISBANE NEIGHBORS; AND HAVE READ THE REPORT R6 HAS POTENTIAL FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY FROM THE BAY LANDS.

I took the tour. I have been to the center at Visitacion Ave. I have had conversations. I have read material.

I HAVE SEEN THE PLAN PUT FORWARD BY THE DEVELOPER AND ALTERNATIVE ENERGY PLAN FORWARD

DEIR; EIR; NEWS COVERAGE; FACEBOOK

I HAVE LISTENED TO COUNCIL MEETINGS AND TALKED WITH U.P.C. EMPLOYEES.

I HAVE SEEN PARAGON CORP. PLANS

I AM VERY FAMILIAR WITH THE BAY LANDS PLANNING PROCESS

I have reviewed the environmental reports and the plans that have been posted online. Additionally, I have participated in community discussions regarding the project.

I have read portions for the DEIR and have the FEIR. I have met with John Sweicke about issues concerning the badlands and have attended planning commission meetings

participated in an organized and thorough review of the Draft EIR as a member of a city committee.

i have spoken with those who have read the DEIR in create detail

Attended multiple community meetings, met with UPC rep, sat on committee to help review DEIR

I BEEN THROUGH THE TOUR OFFERED AND READ UP ABOUT PLANS FOR OFFICE, RESIDENTIAL, AND PARK SPACE.

I WAS ON THE CITIZENS COMMITTEE TASKED WITH REVIEWING AND ANALYZING THE DEIR

I'm on the Brisbane City Council and Chair the Sustainability Committee for the Baylands Development.

HAVE BEEN FOLLOWING THIS FOR TEN YEARS AND VERY FAMILIAR WITH PROCESS AND DEIR/EIR REVIEW AND EXISTING GENERAL PLAN

I HAVE READ THE DEIR AND ATTENDED MEETINGS ABOUT THE BAY LANDS.

EIR COMPLETED; PLANNING PROCESS IS BEGINNING; DECISIONS SHOULD BE MADE IN NEXT YEAR OR TWO

I HAVE READ THE DRAFT EIR AND I AM READING THE FINAL

the EIR, several talks and articles, conversations with neighbors, Residnets of Brisbane facebook conversations

READ THE DEIR

ATTENDED MEETINGS

I have read the draft Specific Plan, DEIR and am in the process of reading the FEIR

YES, I SEEN THE LAST PLANS FROM UPC AND AM FAMILIAR WITH THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT AND OUR GENERAL PLAN

HEARD ABOUT AND READ SPECIFIC PLAN, DEIR, CREBL ALTERNATIVE AND CITIZENS ALTERNATIVE (RESULT OF PUBLIC WORKSHOPS)

Read/commented on Baylands DEIR; helped produce Baylands Sustainability guidance

All that has been made available publically through the city (DEIR, FEIR)and the BBCAG and other sources.

Attended various workshops, planning commission and city council meetings regarding the Baylands. Looked at the Bayland EIR related documents. Visited various areas of the Bayland. Seen historical documents about the Baylands and its origins. Attended some BBCAG meetings.

I HAVE READ MOST OF THE DEIR

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

I HAVE FOLLOWED THE DEIR, COUNCIL AND PLANNING MEETINGS AND WORKSHOPS FOR MORE THAN 10 YEARS

I AM WELL AWARE ABOUT HOW DEVELOPMENT IS PROCEEDING. I UNDERSTAND THE ISSUES. HAVE SEEN/READ ABOUT UPC'S PROPOSAL

\*BEEN TO PUBLIC MEETINGS

GONE TO MEETING READ REPORTS

READ THE VARIOUS PROPOSALS OVER TIME; ATTENDED SOME MEETINGS.

DRAFT EIR, TRANSPORTATION PLANS (SFMTA) DEVELOPER INFO MEETINGS

I receive notice of the meetings; I see there is a storefront office on Visitacion. We talk amongst ourselves. I went to 1 meeting and am actually planning on getting more involved.

READ AND PARTICIPATED IN THE BAY LANDS EIR PROCESS

ATTENDED MEETINGS

STUDIED ALL PLANS AND READ MANY DOCUMENTS, ATTENDED WORKSHOPS AND MEETINGS

MUCH INFORMATION BEING MAILED ADVERTISING FREE TOURS OF AREA

BEEN ON SEVERAL UPC TRIPS AND TALKS

TOURED AREA UPDATES FROM PARAGON

MY WIFE AND I TOOK A TOUR OF THE SITE WITH A PARAGON REPRESENTATIVE.

IT SEEMS THAT NO MATTER WHAT WAS SUGGESTED, PLANS WILL GO ALONG PARAGON'S DECISIONS.

I TOOK A TOUR ON THE BUS WITH PARAGON

took a tour, read the information that comes out about the Baylands

TOOK TOUR OF BAY LANDS; HAVE MOSTLY ONLY HEARD FROM UPC WHICH I CONSIDER BIASED; WOULD LIKE TO HEAR OF COUNTER PROPOSALS AND ARGUMENTS.

I have read about the plans online and like most of what I have seen, especially the recent ideas regarding wind farms and a solar panel generating facility. One item I wish was addressed was locating a Caltrans station within walking distance to downtown Brisbane and/or having the Bart and Calt

SOLAR AND WIND POWER

NEWSLETTERS, QUESTIONNAIRE, NEWSPAPERS, INTERNET

INFORMATION SENT THROUGH THE MAIL

READ ALL THE MAILINGS THAT HAVE BEEN POSTED

FLYERS FROM BAY LANDS COM. SOLAR ENERGY INTERESTS

CITY PUBLICATIONS - WEB - AND MORE

i did the focus group on the baylands. also read about it.

There have been many communications

I HAVE READ, HEARD, TALKED WITH BRISBANE RESIDENTS, A COUPLE OF CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS

FOLLOWED SINCE IT WAS "TUNTEX" 30 PLUS YEARS

QUITE A LOT FROM A CLOSE NEIGHBOR

CONFLICTING STATEMENTS MANY ALARMING AND INCITING FEAR

There are obviously major development interests with huge fortunes to be made off the use of the Baylands who have, compared to citizen and town resources, effectively unlimited deep pockets to lobby and push their commercial and fundamentally profit driven agendas. Only the current and future resid

UPC proposes residential housing as part of its concept plan. Residents do not want residential housing in the Baylands.

I THINK HIGH SPEED RAIL WILL COME IN AND OCCUPY THE SPACE KEEPING IT A GARBAGE HEAP



**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

I've seen the EIS and other documents. I'm concerned about the slow pace of making a decision and starting to build and complete the project. There is too much resistance to progress and decisions need to be made quicker and in the interest of increasing residents enjoyment of more local availability

TOO MANY RESIDENTS HAVE FOUGHT IT BUT ARE NOT REPRESENTATIVES OF THE WHOLE COMMUNITY

THE BUS SYSTEM IS USING A 3 WATER LINE TO WASH BUSES DURING A DROUGHT. KINDLY CUT OFF THIS WATER SUPPLY

YES

WE WANT TO KNOW WHAT TO DO BUT WE DO NOTHING FOR 30 YEARS

A LOT

IT IS OWNED BY A TAILWIND CONTROLLED BUNCH OF FOREIGNERS, WHO DO NOT CARE ABOUT BRISBANE CITIZENS.

EVERYTHING

IT HAS A LOT OF TALK AND VERY LITTLE ACTION!

OPPOSING VIEWS TO PLANS

EVERYTHING

Seriously? Be as specific as possible even though I've read and heard a lot. This is a silly box.

Bottom line; the owner of the land will try to make as much money as possible. The City of Brisbane is not a factor to them.

HOUSING, SHOPPING AND OPEN SPACE

I HAVE HEARD HOUSING FROM SOME AND COMMERCIAL FROM OTHERS. NO MORE HOUSING

A LARGE PROPOSED MIXED MULTI-FAMILY RETAIL DEVELOPMENT AT BAY FRONT

NEW HOUSING AND SHOPPING

BUSINESS AND HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS

THERE IS A BIG ISSUE ABOUT HOUSING AND RELATED SHOPPING ON THE BAY LANDS

I HAVE HEARD FROM NEIGHBORS THAT THEY WANT TO BUILD CONDOS ON TOXIC LAND AND THAT THEY WILL ALSO INCLUDE OPEN LAND

They want to build housing on unsafe land.

MORE HOUSING - WHO IS GOING TO PAY FOR SEWAGE?? DEVELOPERS?

I've read about the contamination and possible plans for housing

I HEARD THAT A HOUSING DEVELOPMENT PLAN IS IN THE WORKS WHICH IS CRAZY DUE TO THE HEALTH HAZARDS THERE

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

FUTURE DEVELOPMENT, MORE HOUSES/SHOPPING AREAS

I've read about plans for renewable energy farm, recreational areas plus housing.

HOUSING, OAKS, SOLAR WIND FARM

that development is coming and will include open space and housing

OPEN AREAS WITH SOME HOUSING

NOT ENOUGH OPEN SPACE; TOO MUCH HOUSING

IT KEEPS CHANGING OR ASKING COMMUNITY FOR INPUT. HOUSING TRAIN ROUTE PARKLAND IS WHAT I REMEMBER BEFORE 2013.

RENEWABLE ENERGY OPTIONS HOUSING VERSES COMMERCIAL/INDUSTRIAL-WHAT IS BEST BALANCE FOR US?

THEY WANT TO BUILD 4,700 APARTMENTS ON THE BAY LANDS

THAT THEY WANT TO DEVELOP IT FOR HOUSING THAT WE DON'T WANT

\*TOO MUCH HOUSING, RETAIL AND NOT ENOUGH OPEN SPACE. WILL ATTRACT TOO MUCH TRAFFIC AND CRIME

DEVELOP THE BAY LANDS WITH HOUSING

Plan to create new housing area to meet the city's requirement for low cost housing. Plan to build a mix of housing for both low and high cost residents.

DEVELOPER WANTS HOUSING WHICH IS NOT PERMITTED IN BRISBANE GENERAL PLAN-I AGREE

HOUSING

HOUSING PLANS

THEY WANT TO DEVELOP A BUNCH OF NEW HOUSING UNITS.

RETAIL PARKS OFFICE AND HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

PLANS/OPTIONS FOR WIND FARMS, SHOPPING CENTER, CREEK AREA AND SOLAR PANELS. I WENT TO TALK ON REMOVING HEAVY PERSISTENT METALS IN BAY LAND SOILS

BUILDING COMMERCIAL OFFICES ON TOP OF A LAND FILL

TO CLEAN UP THE BAY LANDS AND BUILD MORE OFFICES AND A SHOPPING COMPLEX

They are looking to develop retail and industrial space in the Baylands, and the present argument is whether or not it will include residential.

I HAVE HEARD THAT WE NEED TO COME UP WITH [A] PLAN FOR BETTER UTILIZATION. I HEARD IDEAS LIKE MALL, PARK, ETC.

A FEW BUILDINGS; OPEN SPACE; SOME KIND OF AUDITORIUM; BIKE AND FOOT PATHS.

RUMORS OF BIG BOX STORES, GREEN ENERGY PLANS COLLEGE LOCATION HOUSING/APTS

\*THERE WOULD BE NO HOUSING ONLY BUSINESSES AND GREEN SPACE

EVERYTHING FROM 12 MILLION SQ. FEET OF DEVELOPMENT -- TO A MUCH SMALLER DEVELOPMENT -- WITH SOLAR AND WIND POWER GENERATION.

Develop commercial and office space and add public transit

POTENTIAL OFFICE SPACE, RETAIL AND PARKLAND.

DIFFERENT PLANS TO CREATE RETAIL SPACE.

COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT

DEVELOPMENT, SHOPPING

SINCE 2004 I'VE HEARD THAT COMMERCIAL AND RETAIL DEVELOPMENT WOULD HAVE BEEN COMPLETED BY 2010 SO FAR NOTHING

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE RETAIL SHOPPING AND JOBS.

RETAIL OFFICE COMPLEX

POPULAR SHOPS

TOXIC SOIL HOUSING MIXTURE OF OPEN SPACE AND COMMERCIAL

TO CLEAN UP THE AREA; HAVE PARK, RETAIL, [AND] HOUSING.

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

WASTE DUMP INTO SHOPPING CENTER AND RESIDENTIAL UNITS.

DEVELOPMENT OF COMMERCIAL, HOUSING, INDUSTRY, [AND] TOXIC WASTE IN BAY LANDS.

CLEAN UP THE TOXIC SITE, BUILD HOUSES AND COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES

DEVELOPERS ARE PREPARING LAND (TOXIC WASTES FROM PREVIOUS DUMPING GARBAGE SPACE), AND WANT TO BUILD HOUSING AND RETAIL SHOPPING AREA

PROPOSALS FOR HOUSING AND BUSINESSES/QUESTIONS IF CONTAMINATED LANDS

Mixed use development is planned for the Baylands.

PLANS AND IDEAS FROM DEVELOPER, HOUSING, COMMUTE, SHOPPING/DINING

DEVELOP HOUSING AND BUSINESS AND GREEN SPACE. CLEAN UP OF TOXIC WASTES AT SITES

shopping, apartments, parks and renewable energy builds.

WE'VE HEARD ABOUT POSSIBLE PARK, POSSIBLE WIND FARM AND POSSIBLE MASSIVE HOUSING/SHOPPING DEVELOPMENT

Option 1 is to build housing, commercial space, retail stores, better transport, parks, etc. Option 2 is to build more solar panels, some retail stores, more parks, etc.

TOO MUCH HOUSING AND RETAIL ENTERTAINMENT, NOT ENOUGH OPEN SPACE AND ALTERNATE ENERGY SOURCES. SUCH AS WIND AND SOLAR POWER

CONFUSING AND CHALLENGING - SOLAR CELLS AND WINDMILLS, CAR DEALERSHIPS AND SHOPPING CENTERS, PARK AND WILDLIFE PRESERVE, HOUSING, TOXIC WASTE

DEVELOPING THE AREA INTO MULTI-USE REAL ESTATE AND OPEN PARK/WALK AREAS, BUSINESSES AND LIGHT INDUSTRY

TO CREATE ENVIRONMENT AND ECOSYSTEM ALONG SIDE A NEW COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT THAT WILL ENHANCE LIVE/WORK SPACE FOR BRISBANE

BUILDING OUT BAY LANDS WITH MIXTURE OF RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL BUILDINGS ALONG WITH OPEN SPACE AND TRAIN MUSEUM

EITHER AN ENVIRONMENTAL PRESERVE OR A SHOPPING/HOUSING AREA WITH PARK.

Proposed office buildings, retail, housing, entertainment, historical preservation, recreation and open space.

RECREATION, GOLF, HOUSING, COMMERCIAL, WIND FARM, TRAIN STATION, STADIUM

the baylands will be developed with mixed open space, industry and possibly housing.

LOTS OF RESIDENTIAL, OFFICES, PARKS AND RETAIL. IT WILL BE GOOD FOR BRISBANE.

LARGE RETAIL AND HOUSING DEVELOPMENT; SOME OPEN AND RECREATIONAL AREAS

LARGE RETAIL/OFFICE/HOUSING COMPLEX WITH SOME OPEN SPACE.

BUSINESSES/HOUSING DEVELOPMENT AND OPEN SPACE

HEARD THAT THE BAY LANDS ARE PLANNING FOR HOUSING, RETAIL, AND OPEN SPACE.

I HAVE HEARD OF PLANS TO CREATE RETAIL/ SHOPPING/ RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, AS WELL AS RENEWABLE ENERGY.

MAJOR SHOPPING CENTERS/GREEN ENERGY/COLLEGES AND HOUSING

RETAIL AND GREEN SPACE/ POSSIBLE HOUSING/POSSIBLE HIGH SCHOOL

It looks to be a housing, retail and business park development. I believe I also read it will tie into nearby public transportation?

Some basic proposals for development of residential and commercial properties between 101 and Bayshore.

SENIOR COMMUNITY, MULTIPURPOSE SHOPPING/HOUSING

BUILD OUT A SHOPPING MALL AND HOUSING

BUILD HOUSING AND OR BUSINESSES/SHOPPING CENTERS

ADDING HOUSING SHOPPING

DEVELOPING HOUSING AND MORE SHOPPING, WHICH MIGHT KILL OUR EXISTING DOWNTOWN

Plans to bring about more shops/housing while maintaining the integrity of the land.

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

SOLAR/HOUSING/SHOPPING COMPLEX

NOT MUCH EXCEPT THAT IT WILL BE DEVELOPED FOR COMMERCIAL/RESIDENTIAL MIX USE

HOUSING AND RETAIL.

mixed commercial, industrial, and residential development on a contaminated site. People are worried that it can't be completely cleaned and that more people will dilute their votes for control of Brisbane.

plans to create market rate housing & retail. I am in favor of affordable housing for low income residents mixed in with market rate housing.

I have heard that there are plans to create housing and retail space in the Baylands.

A FEW DEVELOPERS ARE PLANNING FOR EITHER HOUSING OR COMMERCIAL/RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

Plans proposed are for mixed use (retail, housing, light industrial).

HOUSING DEVELOPMENT, SHOPPING AREA

MIXED-USE RESIDENTIAL, COMMERCIAL/RETAIL; SOME FEAR ABOUT HIGH SPEED RAIL.

I'VE HEARD HOUSING, SHOPPING COMPLEX, AT ONE TIME AN OLYMPIC VILLAGE

Develop into housing, business, commercial

BUILD HOUSING/COMMERCIAL SPACE

A developer wants to turn this swath of land into a mixed-use space. Over bloated plan which will line the developer's pockets - completely out of step with the heart and soul of Brisbane.

I HEARD VARIOUS PLANS FOR CONDENSED HOUSING AND BOX STORES WHICH I FEEL WOULD CHANGE THE CHARACTER OF OUR TOWN.

YES. VERY LITTLE I HAVE HEARD HOUSING, OFFICE, SHOPPING WILL BE BUILT THERE

THE IMPACT FOR DEVELOPMENT IS PROFIT DRIVEN/ HOUSING/ A RETAIL DESIGNED TO SOLVE HIGH INCOME POPULATIONS

PLANNED HOUSING AND RETAIL DEVELOPMENT

1) DEVELOPMENT PROPOSAL FOR SHOPPING AND HOUSING; 2) MANY BRISBANE CITIZENS OPPOSE THE DEVELOPMENT.

THERE IS A PROPOSAL TO DEVELOP BAY LAND INTO RETAIL AND CONDO COMPLEX

shopping and residential development plans

MAJOR COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, SUCH AS 4,100 NEW HOUSING UNITS.

NEED FOR LIVE WORK SPACE

THERE ARE PLANS FOR HOUSING, HOTELS AND OFFICES. THIS WILL BE GOOD FOR THE CITY!

READ ABOUT DEVELOPMENTS AND SHOPPING AND HOUSING AND OTHER MODERN FACILITIES

CAP TOXIC DUMP/RESIDENTIAL AND COMMERCIAL

TOXIC LAND/HOUSING/WIND FARM

the area is toxic and they talk of building there

THAT THERE IS DEBATE AS TO WHETHER SUCH DEVELOPMENT SHOULD TAKE PLACE AT ALL, SINCE IT IS ON LANDFILL.

I've seen plans. Heard about the clean-up. Heard rumors and ideas.

SOME WANT A MEGALOPOLIS OTHERS A PARK A GOLF COURSE (THIS IS TOXIC OK FOR SOME INDUSTRY, GOLF (WATER) A PARK

CONCERNED OVER THE CONTAMINATION OF THE LAND; BIG UPROAR BETWEEN HOUSING AND LAND USE

OPINIONS ARE DIVIDED ON WHETHER THE BAY LANDS DEVELOPMENT SHOULD INCLUDE HOUSING SINCE TOXIC WASTE IS BURIED THERE.

Various plans for commercial and residential development by Universal Paragon, but nothing specific. Toxic waste cleanup will be difficult.

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

contaminated soil, buried toxic waste from old landfill. Several proposals submitted to develop the land, none approved by the city yet. EIR is available for public review.

I HEARD THAT IT WAS TOXIC AND THAT THERE WAS AN EIR THAT WAS BEING WORKED ON. IT WAS USED AS A LANDFILL AND SOMETHING ABOUT THE OLYMPICS BUT THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN IT IS POLLUTED. IT IS UNCLEAR IF THIS POLLUTION CAN BE SAFELY REMEDIATE. THE OWNERS ARE FOREIGN. IT IS TAKING FOREVER TO DO ANYTHING.

EXPANSION OF RECOLOGY

EXISTING CONTAMINATION PROBLEMS AND FUTURE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROBLEMS IN MY OPINION MAKE IT UNWISE TO BUILD HOUSING

EIR DRAFT FOR BAY LANDS AT LIBRARY

ISSUE RELATED TO REMOVAL OF EXISTING TOXIC WASTE.

\*\*OLD CONTAMINATED RAIL LAND/UPC IS TRYING TO DEVELOP IT.

BUILDING ON TOXIC DUMP.

SOLAR FARM GREEN SPACE AND VARIOUS OTHER POTENTIAL PLANS

PROPOSALS FOR UTILIZING THE SPACE AND ENVIRONMENTAL PARKS

BALANCING THE DESIRE TO KEEP BRISBANE SMALL AND SECLUDED WITH THE NEED TO GROW, ENHANCE THE ECONOMY, AND LOWER HOUSING COSTS

MIXED USE. RENEWABLE ENERGY. PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION

PLANS TO DEVELOP THE BAY LANDS NO REAL DETAILS

BUILDING

THE BAY LANDS ARE BEING DEVELOPED.

\*THAT THERE ARE PLANS TO DEVELOP THE BAY LANDS

I've read and seen very little. It seems that it has been in development for years and I still do not have a grasp on what type of retail/housing development is in the works. I think it's been carefully considered and I hope that visible progress will be made in the coming year. There is a sense of

DEVELOPMENT PLANS FROM ADVERTS IN THE PAST

PROPOSED BUILDING

I HAVE HEARD A LOT OF TALK ABOUT POSSIBILITIES BUT NO REAL PLANS.

I HEARD THAT BRISBANE WANTS TO HAVE MORE BUILDINGS OF SOME SORT ON THE BAY LANDS

NEW DEVELOPMENTS

It would take quite a bit of time. Haven't heard any more details.

DEVELOPMENT IS PLANNED FOR BAY LANDS

THAT IT IS BEING DEVELOPED INTO 3 DISTRICT AREAS

THAT IT IS GOING TO BE DEVELOPED. I HAVE HEARD NUMEROUS THINGS OVER THE YEARS THAT HAVE NOT PANNED OUT.

READ A PLAN TO DEVELOP THE AREA

They want to build and make us like daly city

That its going to be a development of some type in the future.

THE UNIVERSAL PARAGON GROUP IS WORKING WITH THE CITY OF BRISBANE, AND ITS CONSTITUENTS, TO DETERMINE HOW IT WILL BE USED.

THE AREA IT COVERS AND THAT SOMETHING IS BEING DONE ABOUT IT

DEVELOPMENT IN BAY LANDS WILL EVENTUALLY COME.

I HAVE HEARD AND SEEN SOME PLANS FROM MY FAMILY, WHO LIVE IN VISITATION VALLEY.

HUGE INFLUX OF CORPORATION CONGESTION AND MASSIVE INFRASTRUCTURE UPGRADE

ID SEEN SOME PLANS A FEW YEARS AGO

I HAVE HEARD THAT FUTURE DEVELOPMENT WILL OCCUR, BUT UNSURE OF ACTUAL PLANS

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

I REMEMBER FILLING OUT SURVEY MANY YEARS AGO ABOUT PREFERENCE OF HOW THE BAY LAND SHOULD BE USED. I ASSUME DISCUSSION HAS BEEN ONGOING

I SEE NOTICES OF BAY LAND MEETINGS ETC. HAVE GONE ON A BAY LAND TOUR RECEIVE MAILINGS ON HOW CERTAIN DEVELOPMENTS WILL EFFECT BRISBANE

I HAVE READ ABOUT WHAT THEY PROPOSE TO DO, AND I FEEL IT WOULD ADD CHARM TO BRISBANE

YES, BUT DON'T KNOW WHAT THE PLANS ARE

A LOT OF BUILDING BRINGING IN A LOT OF PEOPLE, REVENUE

PLANS INVOLVING THE BAY LANDS

MORE PEOPLE DEVELOPMENT CONGESTION LESS WATER

I HAVE HEARD A FEW DIFFERENT SCENARIOS, OPEN SPACE/HOUSING/RETAIL, HOWEVER I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT OPEN SPACE SOME OFFICE AND SOME RETAIL

PREFER IDEA OF WIND FARM AND OPEN SPACE TO IDEA OF HEAVY RETAIL AND HOUSING LATELY, MORE OPEN SPACE, ALTERNATIVE ENERGY CONSIDERATION, ETC.

I HAVE SEEN SOME OF THE PLANS BUT I DO NOT LIKE THE WAY IT IS BEING DEVELOPED AT ALL

EITHER DEVELOP A SOLAR FARM OR DEVELOP MULTI-USE FACILITIES.

LOTS OF RESISTANCE TO CHANGE DEVELOPMENT

CONFLICT BETWEEN ADDING HOUSING AND RETAIL WITH NOT DOING SO AND USING BAY LANDS FOR NON-PEOPLE PURPOSES.

IT IS CONTROVERSIAL AND NEEDS MUCH RESEARCH AND THOUGHT BEFORE DECISIONS CAN BE MADE.

I HAVE HEARD THAT BASICALLY 2 EXTREMES ARE THE CHOICES-BAD DEVELOPERS OR EXTREME ENVIRONMENTALISTS AND NOT MUCH IN BETWEEN

LOOSING THE BEAUTY OF BRISBANE BAY LAND

THERE IS A DEBATE OR QUESTION ON EXACTLY WHAT IS THE BEST MIX OF DEVELOPMENT TOO BIG NOT FITTING INTO BRISBANE SMALL QUIET ONE OF A KIND TOWN, WILL DESTROY BRISBANE

Attended UPC presentations and read the EIR.

POSTINGS ABOUT MEETINGS, EIR, FLYERS, FROM NEIGHBORS. THE OBNOXIOUS OFFICE ON VISITATION THAT SAYS BRISBANE BAY LANDS

That the public is often invited to hear about updates and to tour the Baylands. I see the ads for this in the Brisbane Chamber of Commerce newsletter. I also know there is an office downtown for the Baylands.

SAT IN ON A COMMUNITY MEETING ABOUT COMMUNITY CONCERNS ABOUT THE BAY LANDS IN SCHOOL, WE HAD TO ATTEND CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS ABOUT THE PLANS AND I HAVE ALSO RECEIVED UPDATES FROM MY MOM.

I READ SOME REPORTS AND VIEWED SOME PLANS COVERING VARIOUS POSSIBILITIES FOR DEVELOPMENT.

READ DEIR AND REVIEWED U.P.C. PLANS -- CITY SUSTAINABILITY PLAN

I HAVE HEARD OF A FEW PROPOSALS AND PARTICIPATED IN A PREVIOUS SURVEY AND ATTENDED A CITY COUNCIL MEETING WHEN IT WAS DISCUSSED

I READ ABOUT THE PARAGON PROPOSAL AND THE EXISTING ALTERNATIVES

Saw mayor presentation at Riew Point at the Ridge BOD meeting over a year ago.

HEARD ABOUT WORKSHOPS CONCERNING PLANS, CLEAN UP, EIR REPORTS, AND NOTICES OF UPDATES.

WENT ON TOUR OF BAY LANDS AND HEARD SPIEL BY PARAGON.

TOUR

SOLAR POWER

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

SOLAR POWER

MATERIALS (PAINT) SENT TO MY HOME; NEWSCASTS; FLYERS; NEIGHBORHOOD CONVERSATIONS.

I've read the informational flyers posted on the windows of the Baylands project office located on Visitacion Avenue ( Main Street ) in downtown Brisbane.

I RECEIVED A NOTICE IN THE MAIL ABOUT THE "IDEAS."

HAVE RECEIVED POST CARDS, NEWSLETTERS FROM THE CITY, ASKING FOR INPUT ON BAY LANDS, HAVE SEEN THE BAY LANDS OFFICE IN DOWNTOWN BRISBANE PAMPHLET OUTLINING THE BAY LANDS.

LITERATURE FROM BRISBANE

I've SEEN QUESTIONNAIRES OFFERING TRADER JOES READ THROUGH THE MAIL.

MAILINGS

I RECEIVE EMAILS FROM THE BAY LAND PLANNING COMMITTEE INFORMATION IN LIBRARY AND NEWSPAPER COVERAGE.

ONE PAMPHLET RECEIVED YESTERDAY 1ST I'VE HEARD OF IT-RATHER SEE LESS HOUSING AND DEVELOPMENT AS POSSIBLE

NOTHING SOLID FROM THE DEVELOPERS. RECENT MAILING FROM OPPOSITION REGARDING ALTERNATE GREEN AND RECREATIONAL DEVELOPMENT GET THEM IN MY MAIL. HAVEN'T READ EVERYTHING

I HAVE SEEN A FLYER ABOUT DEVELOPMENT OFF THE BAY LANDS

PAMPHLETS AND NEWSPAPER ARTICLES

PHONE SURVEY AND LOCAL NEWS

READ IN NEWS PAPER AND WORD OF MOUTH

sf chronicle add, local newspaper, and by jogging around the baylands

ON THE LOCAL TV CHANNEL

I've seen the office on Visitacion and have read some general information including the recent article in the SF Chronicle.

LISTENED TO DISCUSSIONS ABOUT THE PLAN ON THE COMMUNITY CABLE TV.

ONLY WAS IS WRITTEN IN THE BRISBANE STAR

READ THE NEWS PAPER

INVOLVED IN GROUP STUDY SESSION BY [AN] INDEPENDENT GROUP.

I have seen some proposed alternatives on maps and online dialogs

LIMITED INFO ON BRISBANE RESIDENTS

THERE IS DEBATE AS TO WHETHER OR NOT HOUSING ON THE BAY LANDS IS SAFE.

INFO THROUGH GOOGLE NEWS FEED

JUST INFO FROM UPC

BUILDING A BIO-TECH CAMPUS.

VERY LITTLE IN INITIAL DISCUSSION ABOUT HAVING AND RETAIL SPACE, AS WELL AS OPEN SPACE.

NOT SURE

IT HAS THE POTENTIAL TO CHANGE BRISBANE DRAMATICALLY

NOT MUCH

I don't recall

I HAVE HEARD TAXPAYERS ARE GOING TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR MAINTAINING BAY LANDS INFRASTRUCTURE.

STILL UNDECIDED ON HOW TO PROCEED

**Question 8: In a few words of your own, what have you heard, seen or read about plans to do something with the Baylands?**

MANY RESIDENTS EXPRESS OPINIONS AS FACTS BUT THE CHARACTER OF BAY LANDS DEVELOPMENT IS UNDER MINDED

n/a

I HAVE HEARD THAT I WILL NEVER SEE IT IN MY LIFETIME -- AND I AM 63!

LOTS OF CONFLICT

BAY LANDS PLANS ARE NOT MOVING FAST ENOUGH; MUCH TOO SLOW.

Have read some opinions, pros and cons. Concerns about clean up before building. Also concerns about traffic and additional water usage for so many new users.

not much

THEY HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THEY ARE DOING, LETTING BIG MONEY MOVE THEM FORWARD.

Too much repeat information and no progress indications.

MANY YEARS OF TALK AND MORE TALK

SAW THE BAY LANDS RESERVATION OFFICE ON VISITATION AVE-BUT ITS BEEN CLOSED EVERY TIME WE GO BY

I AM WORRIED THAT DEVELOPERS WILL DO A HIT-AND-RUN; TOO MUCH CONGESTION.

BRISBANE CONTINUES TO SAY "NO" TO MOST PLANS ON BAY LANDS. I AGREE WITH NO HOUSING, DUE TO TOXIC WASTE [FROM] BEING A DUMP SITE.

CONFUSE

NEAT



**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

**Response**

NO

NO

NO

NO

NO OTHER GOALS

NOPE

NO

NO

NO, YOU COVERED MANY CONCERNS

NONE

NO

NO

NO

THERE ARE TOO MANY GOALS ALREADY LISTED ABOVE. NO DEVELOPMENT CAN DO ALL THAT.

NO

NO

QUESTIONS WERE GENERAL ENOUGH TO COVER ALL OF MY GOALS

NO HOUSING OR RETAIL. IT'S NOT SAFE.

UPC SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED TO BUILD, OR CAUSE TO BE BUILT, HOUSING ON THE BAYLANDS. IF THEY COULD HAVE DONE SOMETHING PROFITABLE WITH THAT LAND, THEY WOULD HAVE DONE IT BY NOW, INSTEAD OF FEEDING EVERYONE A LOAD OF XX ABOUT HOW IT IS PRIME HOUSING REAL ESTATE. THE FACT IS, THEY CAN'T DO ANYTHIN

NONE.

IT SEEMS THAT THE SURVEY COVERED ALL OF THE BASIS.

NONE THAT I CAN THINK OF AT THIS TIME.

REDUCE PROPERTY TAXES FOR NEW HOME BUYERS. INCREASE SERVICES FOR THE TAXES THAT WE PAY. EXCESSIVE CHARGES FOR WATER USED BY HOA'S IN BRISBANE. THE NEWER HOME OWNERS, WHICH ARE THE MINORITY ARE HAVING TO PAY A MUCH HIGHER COST FOR A LARGER MAJORITY OF OLDER HOME OWNERS IN THE CITY OF BRISBAN

REMOVING PUBLIC UTILITIES CABLES FROM STREET VIEW, REFORESTATION, CREATE A WALKABLE WATER FRONT AREA ALONG THE LAGOON.

ENSURING THAT THE LAND GENERATES REVENUE FOR THE CITY OF BRISBANE SO THE BURDEN OF INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS AND MAINTENANCE DOES NOT FALL TO THE RESIDENTS.

REQUIRING NEW DEVELOPMENT TO BE AS SUSTAINABLE AS IS REASONABLE AT THIS TIME AND NOT APPLYING THAT BURDEN TO ENHANCEMENTS OF EXISTING STRUCTURES AND HOMES IN BRISBANE.

MAINTAIN A SENSE OF SEPARATION BETWEEN BRISBANE AND THE NORTHERN DEVELOPMENTS WITH A BAND OF OPEN SPACE.

SAFETY FOR HUMANS AND WILDLIFE PRESERVATION IS VERY IMPORTANT.

NO HOUSING. NO RETAIL. NO PARKS. WE DO NOT WANT BRISBANE TO BE A PLACE WHERE PEOPLE LIVE, SHOP AND PLAY ON LAND THAT IS A LONG-TERM HEALTH HAZARD. THIS IS MORALLY UNCONSCIONABLE AND IS FINANCIALLY BAD FOR THE LONG-TERM REAL ESTATE REPUTATION OF BRISBANE AND THEREFORE THE HOMEOWNERS AND TAXPAYERS. (W

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

PRESERVE BRISBANE SMALL TOWN CHARACTER AND DON'T PRICE US OUT OF THE COMMUNITY

DEVELOPMENT WHICH DOES NOT DRAW IN TEENAGERS AND THE PROBLEMS THAT COME WITH THEM. (NO MOVIE THEATERS, .99 CENT STORES, SKATEBOARD PARKS, ETC). DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE FAMILY ORIENTED (PARK) OR ADULT ORIENTED (RESTAURANT/BAR)

NO, BUT I WILL STRESS THAT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT, MAINTAINING BRISBANE'S SMALL-TOWN CHARACTER, AND ENSURING AFFORDABLE (NOT MARKET RATE) HOUSING ARE CRITICAL.

LIKE THE PREVIOUS PART OF THE SURVEY, MAINTAINING THE SMALL-TOWN ASPECT (NOT JUST THE FEEL) OF OUR UNIQUE BRISBANE.

CONNECTING UPPER AND LOWER BRISBANE.

CREATING THE MOST SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT IN THE COUNTRY, WHERE THE ENVIRONMENT, SOCIAL EQUITY AND ECONOMICS ARE IN HARMONY WITH EACH OTHER.

THE LANDOWNER SHOULD HAVE TO PAY FOR CLEANING UP THE PLACE, EVEN IF THEY DONT GET TO CHANGE THE AMOUNT THAT THEY CAN BUILD. THEY BOUGHT IT THAT WAY, AND ASSUMED THAT COST. WE SHOULDN'T CUT A DEAL TO GET IT DONE.

IF HOUSING HAPPENS, THE KIDS SHOULD BE PART OF THE BRISBANE SCHOOL DISTRICT. WE SHOULD NOT HAVE 2 ELEMENTARY SCHOOL DISTRICTS IN OUR CITY. OFFICES AND HOMES SHOULD NOT BE ISOLATED ISLANDS LIKE THE NORTHEAST RIDGE. WE NEED TO BE CONNECTED AND AREAS SHOULD HAVE SOMEWHERE TO CONGREGATE LIKE SHOPS AN

IT IS IMPORTANT THAT THE BAYLANDS PROJECT IS AN INTEGRATED PART OF BRISBANE AND NOT JUST A SEPARATE AND COMPLETELY DIFFERENT SECTION OF BRISBANE!

PROVIDING PLACES AT THE HEART OF THE DEVELOPMENT WHERE PEOPLE WANT TO BE, DAY OR NIGHT.

BIGGER DOG PARK WOULD BE NICE.

YES, AS PART OF ANY RECREATIONAL PLANS I WOULD LIKE SOME TENNIS COURTS AND, IF POSSIBLE, A DRIVING RANGE.

LAGOON SHOULD BE ABSOLUTELY PRESERVED. BRISBANE IS AN UNCOVERED JEWEL NEAR SF. IT COULD BE THE FUTURE OF BURLINGAME. A WELL-PLANNED STEP-BY-STEP DEVELOPMENT IS STRATEGICALLY IMPORTANT. MUST NOT LET SHORT TERM GAIN OF CITY INCOME & TRADE IN THE FUTURE. ALSO, AFFORDABLE HOUSING WILL DRAG DOWN T

GETTING A LOCAL HIGH SCHOOL WOULD CERTAIN HELP THE ATTRACTIVENESS OF THE TOWN FOR HOUSING RESALE

SCIENCE/EDUCATION CENTER; STEM SCHOOL; RENEWABLE ENERGY; LOCAL BUSINESSES -- NOT BIG BOX RETAILERS.

CREATING A PUBLIC HIGH SCHOOL FOR BRISBANE STUDENTS.

DEVELOPMENT MUST HAPPEN. ALL THE COMMUNITY KEEPS DOING - IS PUSHING IT BACK. IT'S INEVITABLE THAT THE AREA WILL EVENTUALLY BE DEVELOPED. THE DEVELOPMENT WOULD INCREASE FUNDS FOR SCHOOLS. AND BETTER SCHOOLS ARE THE KEY TO EVERYTHING. WHY IS CUPERTINO, PALO ALTO, SARATOGA SUCH HIGH DEMAND PLACES TO LI

CREATING A HIGH SCHOOL IN THE BAYLANDS.

PROTECT CITY DWELLERS FROM LIGHT POLLUTION.

SAN MATEO COUNTY AND BRISBANE IN SPECIFIC MUST PLAY ITS PART IN THE ONGOING HOUSING CRISIS BY RESISTING SELF-INTERESTED HOMEOWNERS THAT ARE OPPOSED TO ALL FORMS OF DEVELOPMENT AT THE EXPENSE OF EVERYONE ELSE.

IMPROVING THE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AVAILABLE TO BRISBANE RESIDENTS. ENSURING THAT PUBLIC SAFETY WILL NOT BE IMPACTED BY A SIGNIFICANT INCREASE IN THE POPULATION.

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

1.) BETTER CONNECTING THE CITY LINE OF SAN FRANCISCO TO BRISBANE---THE CITY BOUNDARIES ARE TOO DISJOINTED THROUGH THE SMALL DAILY CITY PIECE OF LAND ALONG BAYSHORE. 2.) PREVENTING ANY DEVELOPMENT FROM BLOCKING THE VIEWS OF THE CURRENT BRISBANE HOUSING WITH VIEWS.

RAIL YARD FOR CAL HIGH SPEED RAIL. CHSR IS REGIONALLY, NATIONALLY AND GLOBALLY IMPORTANT FOR ECOLOGICAL AND ECONOMIC REASONS. BRISBANE CAN GENERATE REVENUE NEEDED TO REMEDIATE THE SITE BY HOSTING CHSR RAIL YARD.

ADDING A CALTRANS ENTRANCE TO A PARKING LOT OR PARKING STRUCTURE OFF OF BAYSHORE BOULEVARD. RESTORING PORTIONS OF THE LAND FOR NATIVE PLANTS, AND/OR PLANTS TO OFFSET CARBON EMISSIONS FROM CARS ON HWY 101. CREATING A BIKE/WALKING PATH.

RAIL YARD FOR CALIFORNIA HIGH SPEED RAIL

1. I LIVE IN THE NEWER PART OF BRISBANE TOWARD THE BACK PART OF THE COMPLEX IS THERE ANYTHING WE CAN DO ABOUT KEEPING THE BACK PART OF THE 1 LANE ROAD OPEN ALL THE TIME. IE..ONLY CONSTRUCTION CAN USE THIS ROAD THAT LEADS INTO BRISBANE. YOU CAN'T DRIVE USING THIS LANE OUT OF BRISBANE. 2. CAN WE DO SO

MORE PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION THAT CONNECTS BRISBANE TO THE BAYLANDS AND TO ALL OTHER CITIES AND TOWNS IN THE AREA LIKE SF AND SOSF

THOUGHTFUL PLANNING AND EXECUTION OF INCREASED PUBLIC TRANSIT ACCESS AND PLENTIFUL PARKING BY CALTRAIN AND LIGHT RAIL. IDEALLY, MORE FREQUENT STOPS OF CALTRAIN AT BAYSHORE, OR BETTER, AT A NEW STATION BY THE CAR PARK ON BAYSHORE. LACK OF ACCESS TO PUBLIC TRANSIT IS OUR #1 ISSUE.

STRATEGIC CONNECTIVITY TO PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION.

PROVIDING SUPPORT FACILITY FOR HIGH SPEED /ELECTRIFIED TRAIN SYSTEM SUCH AS A MAINTENANCE YARD WHICH COULD BE BUILT IN A BOX STRUCTURE AND HIDDEN UNDER EITHER PARKLAND OR OFFICE BUILDINGS. THIS WOULD PROVIDE A LOCATION FOR HIGH VALUE INFRASTRUCTURE THAT IS CLEAN AND PROVIDE WELL PAYING SKILLED JOBS

DO NOT DEVELOP THE BAYLANDS FOR HOUSING, RETAIL OR BUSINESS. INSTEAD THEY SHOULD BE KEPT AS NATURAL AS POSSIBLE FOR THE BIRDS AND WILDLIFE THAT IS LEFT IN THE AREA. HIKING TRAILS, CREEKS, STREAMS AND WETLAND AREAS SHOULD BE PRESERVED AT ALL COSTS BEFORE THEY ARE GONE ENTIRELY.

ANY HOUSING ON THE BAYLANDS WILL HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO DESTROY THE QUALITY OF LIFE OF BRISBANE.

NOT JUST COVERING UP THE TOXINS BUT REMOVING THEM. SAFETY. EARTHQUAKE SAFETY. WATER INUNDATION ISSUES. BAY POLLUTION. INCREASED GREENHOUSE GASES. DEGRADATION OF THE QUALITY OF LIFE. POPULATION PRESSURES.

NO HOUSING AT ALL DUE TO THE TOXICITY OF THE LAND.

LURING A GROCERY STORE SUCH AS WHOLE FOODS OR SPROUTS TO THE AREA.

WE NEED A LARGE SUPERMARKET LIKE A SAFEWAY AND A WALGREENS/CVS STORE.

PROVIDING LOW-INCOME HOUSING MIXED IN WITH MARKET-RATE HOUSING

BUILD AFFORDABLE SINGLE FAMILY HOMES FOR THE WORKING CLASS. NOT FREE OR SECTION 8 LOW INCOME HOUSING. WOULD LIKE TO SEE A HABITAT FOR HUMANITY TYPE PROGRAM THERE. WHERE THE FUTURE HOME OWNER HAS TO BE INVOLVED IN THE BUILDING OF THE HOME, AND MUST MEET THE FINANCIAL REQUIREMENTS TO QUALIFY FOR THE

NEED A RAILROAD MUSEUM IN THE ROUNDHOUSE. IT'S THE ONLY BRICK ROUNDHOUSE IN THE STATE STILL STANDING ON ITS OWN FOUNDATION AND IS A PERFECT VENUE TO PRESERVE HISTORIC RAILROAD EQUIPMENT, AND THE CULTURE AND KNOWLEDGE THAT THE RAILROAD WORKERS BROUGHT TO BRISBANE.

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

I FEEL STRONGLY THAT BRISBANE SHOULD WORK TO CULTIVATE AN ARTS ORIENTED LEANING AND INCORPORATE THIS INTO THE OVERALL BAYLANDS DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT. POSSIBLY DEVELOP NEW STRUCTURES FOR ARTIST STUDIOS OR REHABILITATE OLDER STRUCTURES FOR THIS PURPOSE. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE STRONG PREFERENCE GIVE

ITEM O: THE DEVELOPER OR DEVELOPMENT SHOULD PAY FOR ALL INFRASTRUCTURE, REGARDLESS OF AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT, AND REMEDIATION IS A COST OF THE LAND, IT IS THEIR RESPONSIBILITY, THEY TOOK THAT RISK WHEN THEY PURCHASED THE LAND.

REASONABLE GROWTH AND HOUSING

DO NOT LET PARAGON BUILD HOUSES ON THIS SITE! THEY STARTED OUT SAYING THEY COULDN'T BECAUSE OF THE CONTAMINATION. WHAT HAS CHANGED? BUILDING HOUSES MAKES DEVELOPERS RICH, NOT MUNICIPALITIES; PLEASE KEEP THIS IN MIND. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A GOAL OF TRANSITIONING THE OLD DIRTY INDUSTRIAL NATURE OF T

ANYTHING THAT PRESERVES SOME AREA FOR PROTECTED WILDLIFE.

JUST MAKING SURE THE LAND IS CLEAN UP AND SAFE FOR WHATEVER THEY BUILD.

ADHERENCE TO ISSUES FOR VISUALLY HANDICAPPED.

GET IT DONE!!

N/A

NA

N/A

DOES BRISBANE HAVE A PLAN OR PROPOSAL TO SUPPORT AND INCREASE FAMILY/SMALL BUSINESSES?

N/A

NO DOGS IN MAIN PARK USE A DOG PARK/SKATE BOARD PARK MAINTAIN, NO NEW PARK/TICKET CARS GOING WRONG WAY WHEN PARKING ON STREETS, TICKET IN THE MIDDLE OF THE STREET U-TURNS

MINIMIZE STREET PARKING IMPACT/BUILD PARKING STRUCTURES INTO ENV./LEAVE STREETS OPEN/ALLOWS PEDESTRIAN SAFTY

NOT TO IMPACT CENTRAL BRISBANE. NO MORE TRAFFIC. PASSIVE AREA IS SORELY NEEDED NOT MORE CARS AND PEOPLE

1) NEED STOP SIGN AT 71 THOMAS AVENUE (X STREET) AND 900 SAN BRUNO AVENUE -- AT DUSK, BLINDING FROM SUN; 2) TOP OF THE HILL BLIND SPOT, ALSO; 3) KIDS CROSSING AT SCHOOL NEARBY; 4) BUS STOP -- PRE-EXISTIN ROUTE NEEDED; AND 5) TWO ACCIDENTS I WITNESSED.

TRAFFIC IMPACTS ON BAYSHORE WILL CREATE A VERY LOW LOS UNLESS ALTERNATIVES ARE PRE-PLACED TO THE DEVELOPMENT IF ANY IS DONE

MINIMIZE TRAFFIC

LIMIT CONGESTION, TRAFFIC.

NEED STOP SIGN AT 71 THOMAS AVENUE AND SAN BRUNO (X STREET) -- SCHOOL NEARBY [AND] BLINDING FROM SUN.

PUT IN DEVELOPMENT THAT CREATES MONEY FOR BRISBANE DO NOT PUT HOUSING ON TOXIC AREAS. PUT HOUSING IN CLEAN AREAS I STILL THINK A HIGH SPEED RAIL WILL BE FORCED UPON IS BECAUSE PROCESS IS SLOWED DOWN BY INCOMPETENT CITY OFFICIALS

CREATE A DEVELOPMENT THAT BRINGS INCOME TO CITY AND INCREASES FINANCIAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR RESIDENTS

PROVIDE A DEVELOPMENT THAT PROVIDES INCOME AND INCOME OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE CITY AND RESIDENTS

GENERATE REVENUE AND RESOURCES TO IMPROVE THE BRISBANE PUBLIC SCHOOL QUALITY EXTREMELY IMPORTANT FOR THE STABILITY AND RENEWAL OF THE COMMUNITY

THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BAYLANDS MUST PRODUCE SIGNIFICANT POSITIVE TAX CASH FLOW TO BRISBANE, BUILDING RESIDENTIAL HOUSING WON'T DO THAT

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

LET THE LAND OWNER DEVELOP BASED UPON FREE MARKET FORCES THAT IS THE AMERICAN WAY

No housing. No retail. No parks. We do not want Brisbane to be a place where people live, shop and play on land that is a long-term health hazard. This is morally unconscionable and is financially bad for the long-term real estate reputation of Brisbane and therefore the homeowners and taxpayers. (W

KEEP BRISBANE'S IMAGE AWAY FROM \$\$ AFFORDED BY GARBAGE.IT'S NOT APPEALING IMAGE TO BECOME ASSOCIATED WITH OR TO BE ALONG SIDE

PRESERVING AND RESTORING OLD RAILROAD ROUNDHOUSE AND SHOPS

PART OF THE SMALL TOWN FEEL OF BRISBANE IS PROTECTED BY THE BUFFER ZONE CREATED BY THE BAYLANDS. I AM CONCERNED THAT DEVELOPMENT OF THE BAYLANDS COULD MAKE BRISBANE FEEL MORE LIKE A PART OF THE STRING OF SUBURBS IN THE PENINTULA ALONG HWY 101 AND THEREBY

Preserve Brisbane small town character and don't price us out of the community

I HOPE THE CITY OF BRISBANE IS VIEWED AS EXCLUSIVE AND NOT FOR EVERYONE AND THAT IS A OKAY FOR EVERYONE THAT SPENDS GOOD MONEY TO LIVE HERE

development which does not draw in teenagers and the problems that come with them. (no movie theaters, .99 cent stores, skateboard parks, etc). Development should be family oriented (park) or adult oriented (restaurant/bar)

No, but I will stress that environmental impact, maintaining Brisbane's small-town character, and ensuring AFFORDABLE (not market rate) housing are critical.

DESIGN OF BAYLANDS MUST BE GENIUNE AND TRUE TO THE CHARACTER OF BRISBANE (MINUS TH RIDGE) HIRE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

Like the previous part of the survey, maintaining the small-town aspect (not just the feel) of our unique Brisbane.

Connecting upper and lower Brisbane.

Creating the most sustainable development in the country, where the environment, social equity and economics are in harmony with each other.

KEEP SMALL-TOWN FEEL

The landowner should have to pay for cleaning up the place, even if they dont get to change the amount that they can build. They bought it that way, and assumed that cost. We shouldn't cut a deal to get it done.

If housing happens, the kids should be part of the Brisbane School District. We should not have 2 elementary school districts in our city. Offices and homes should not be isolated islands like the Northeast Ridge. We need to be connected and areas should have somewhere to congregate like shops an

It is important that the Baylands project is an integrated part of Brisbane and not just a separate and completely different section of Brisbane!

MAKING SURE DEVELOPMENT IS PRACTICAL NOT WHIMSICAL

PROVIDE WALKING AREAS FOR PEOPLE AND PETS, ESPECIALLY OFF-LEASH; MAINTAIN AN OPEN FEELING; NO MASSIVE BUILDINGS.

HOW ABOUT A PARK OR GOLF COURSE OPTION-SOMETHING GREEN

PROTECT WIND SURFING; LIGHT POLLUTION; LIQUIFACTION AND SEA LEVEL RISE.

WALK PATHS. ART AND CULTURAL SITES -- SUCH AS GALLERIES AND SCULPTURES, STATUES, AND LIVE JAZZ (AMERICA'S ONLY ORIGINAL ART FORM); MUSEUMS SHOWING NATURAL HABITAT SPECIES -- INDIANS [AND] LIFE SPECIES (FLOWERS - SHRUBS - BUTTERFLIES, ETC.)

ATHLETIC FIELDS (TURF) ARTIFICIAL GRASS

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

HONOR THIS BEAUTIFUL PLACE AT THE FOOT OF SAN BRUNO MTN. BY PROVIDING EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES IN OUTDOOR SETTING. DOCENT WALKS AND NATURE CENTER PROMOTING AND STRONGER SENSE OF PLACE AND CONNECTING PEOPLE TO PAST CULTURES THAT WERE MORE ECOLOGY ADVANC

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A NICE WALKING, BIKING TRAIL AROUND LAGOON FOR FAMILIES TO WALK AND ENJOY

Providing places at the heart of the development where people want to be, day or night.

Bigger Dog Park would be nice.

OUT DOOR ACTIVITIES WALKING OR CYCLING TRAILS

OPENING UP THE LAGOON TO KAYAKS AND CANOES AND WIND SURFERS AND BIRD WATCHERS. ONE OF THE FEW AREAS FOR WILDLIFE IN THE BAY

BICYCLE PATH/WALKING TRAIL

Yes, as part of any recreational plans I would like some tennis courts and, if possible, a driving range.

Lagoon should be absolutely preserved. Brisbane is an uncovered jewel near SF. It could be the future of Burlingame. A well-planned step-by-step development is strategically important. Must not let short term gain of city income & trade in the future. Also, Affordable housing will drag down t

ENSURING CRIME DOES NOT INCREASE AS A RESULT OF THE NEW BAYLANDS DEVELOPMENT/MAINTAINING PROPERTY VALUES

I DONT FEEL THAT BRISBANE POLICE ARE QUALIFIED TO PATROL THE BADLANDS. THEY ARE CORRUPT AND HARRASS PEOPLE CORPORATIONS PAY THEM OFF.

MORE POLICE INVOLVEMENT

Getting a local high school would certain help the attractiveness of the town for housing resale

Science/education center; STEM school; renewable energy; local businesses -- not big box retailers.

WE NEED A REAL HIGH SCHOOL. WE PLAN TO LEAVE BRISBANE FOR THIS REASON ALONE.

EXPANDING THE ELEMENTARY SCHOOL IS NOT A GOOD OPTION (TOO SMALL AND NOT A GOOD HIGH SCHOOL EXPERIENCE)

Creating a public high school for Brisbane students.

IMPROVE PUBLIC EDUCATION. ADD A GOOD HIGH SCHOOL.

THE GENERATED TAX DOLLARS GO TO BAYSHORE SCHOOL AND NOT BSD-THIS SHOULD BE SHARED

IMPACT ON PUBLIC SCHOOLS

ENSURING INFLOW OF NEW RESIDENTS IS NOT GOING TO NEGATIVE IMPACT SCHOOLS (HIGHER CLASS SIZE)

Development must happen. All the community keeps doing - is pushing it back. It's inevitable that the area will eventually be developed. The development would increase funds for schools. And better schools are the key to everything. Why is Cupertino, Palo Alto, Saratoga such high demand places to li

HAVE A HIGH SCHOOL LOCATED IN BRISBANE. J.U.H.S.D. IS NOT SERVING CITIZENS OF BRISBANE, EVEN THOUGH WE PAY OUR SHARE OF TAXES.

IMPROVE THE QUALITY AND/OR SPACE OF LOCAL PUBLIC SCHOOLS

Creating a high school in the Baylands.

LAND ZOING FLEXIBILITY THAT MAKES SENSE FOR 50-100 YEARS-HARD TO SEE THAT FAR OUT INTO THE FUTURE. TRADING PROPERTY TO HAVE MAXIMUM HOUSING UNITS ON TOP OF THE PUBLIC TRANSIT STATION-WALK TO THE TRAIN OR BUS. PREVENT LIGHT POLLUTION FROM GLARING STREET L

LACK OF HIGH SCHOOL IS A MAJOR DRAWBACK TO THE AREA. CHOICE OF HS IN SSF OR PACIFICA ARE NOT A GOOD ALTERNATIVE

CRACKDOWN ON NOISE POLLUTION FROM MOTOR VEHICLES, ALL VEHICLES THAT RUN STOP SIGNS, SPEEDING

MINIMIZE NOISE AND LIGHT POLUTION, MAINTAIN LAGOON AND CONTAIN GRAFFITI

Protect city dwellers from light pollution.

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

USE THE SPACE TO PROVIDE BUFFERS TO THE NOISE AND UGLYNESS OF 101/PROVIDE SPACE-USE FOR ALL AND NOT CORPORATIONS/BUSINESSES-COMMONS NOT CORP./DON'T EXPECT PEOPLE TO LIVE AT THE DUMP

San Mateo County and Brisbane in specific must play its part in the ongoing housing crisis by resisting self-interested homeowners that are opposed to all forms of development at the expense of everyone else.

CREATING A SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT THAT SHOWCASES BRISBANE AS A MODEL FOR SMART GROWTH AND MAKES BRISBANE A DESTINATION  
A WORLD CLASS ATTRACTION!

THIS FINAL OUTCOME SHOULD BE A WORLD CLASS SOLUTION AND SET AN EXAMPLE OF THE BEST AND BRIGHTEST IDEAS FOR LIVING DESIGN IN THE 21ST CENTURY. ALL EYES ARE ON US.

DUE TO LIMITED WATER SUPPLIES AND DROUGHT CONDITIONS FORSEEN IN FUTURE, NOT HAVING ANY DEVELOPMENT THAT INCREASES WATER USE/DEPENDENCE. INSTEAD OF IMPACTING THE ENVIRONMENT, USE OF THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE CONSCIOUSLY PLANNED TO BE AN ASSET, LIKE ENERGY GE

WOULD PREFER IT TO BE A WIND FARM OR ANY OTHER ENVIRONMENTALLY SUPPORTIVE ENDEAVOR AND NOT A RETAIL HOUSING DEVELOPED MESS. UNLESS THE DEVELOPMENT WAS FOCUSED ON EXPERIMENTAL/ENVIRONMENTALLY SUTABLE AND CREATIVE BUILDINGS/HOUSING THAT MORE CO-CREATED WITH

environmentally friendly solar and wind power.

alternate uses

sustainability

DEVELOPMENT PLANS SHOULD GO BEYOND EXISTING BEST PRACTICES FOR EIR SOLAR WATER WASTE ETC/DEVELOPMENT SHOULD /CAN SUPPORT TAX-REVENUE GENERATING ACTIVITIES (RETAIL AND R AND D)/BRISBANE IS PERFECTLY POSITIONED BETWEEN MUSEUM BAY AND SSF TO BE A CRITICAL P

ENCOURAGING SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH, MEDICAL AND RELATED ENTERPRISES.INTERGRATION INTO A CORRIDOR GOING FROM UCSF THROUGH KLERENTACLE. CONNECT BY EXTENSION CALTRANS THIRD STREET LINE, SAN TRANS AND FERRY PLUS ENHANCED BICYCLE SYSTEM. MONORAIL-START BY THE MO

BRINGING PUBLIC TRANSIT SUCH AS THE LIGHT RAIL AND CALTRAIN TO THE BAYLANDS, KEEPING TRAFFIC TO A MINIMUM, LIMITING HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS. BUILD A YMCA WITH A POOL. SOME DISCOUNT FOR LOCAL RESIDENTS TO PURCHASE A HOME.

Improving the public transportation available to Brisbane Residents. Ensuring that public safety will not be impacted by a significant increase in the population.

1) IMPROVING PUBLIC TRANSPORTATIOIN LINKAGE TO RESIDENTIAL BRISBANE; E.G., BICYCLE, SHUTTLE, TRAINS, BUSES; 2) EMPHASIS ON INNOVATIVE GREEN TECHNOLOGY SOLUTIONS TO ENERGY PRODUCTION.

CHECK OUT THE ROAD TUNNEL AND ROAD TO THE MARINA/WILL HOUSING BE ABLE TO STAY STABLE/THE ROAD IS SINKING AND IT'S A ROLLERCOASTER TO DRIVE ON. MAKE THE DUMP A SOLAR WIND FARM.

NO BUILDING ON THE BAYLANDS; NO MORE HOUSING FOR PEOPLE WITH NO JOBS; FILL IN THE POTHOLES ON TUNNEL AVENUE; PAVE SOUTH OLD BAY SHORE -- IT IS HORRIBLE.

1.) Better connecting the city line of San Francisco to Brisbane---the city boundaries are too disjointed through the small Daily City piece of land along Bayshore. 2.) Preventing any development from blocking the views of the current Brisbane housing with views.

CAN YOU HELP WITH PAVING AND ENHANCING THE CROCKER TRAIL INTO THE BAYLANDS, THEN WE WOULD BE CONNECTED -- THE RIDGE, OLD BRISBANE, AND BAYLANDS.

Rail yard for Cal High Speed Rail. CHSR is regionally, nationally and globally important for ecological and economic reasons. Brisbane can generate revenue needed to remediate the site by hosting CHSR rail yard.

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

Adding a Caltrains entrance to a parking lot or parking structure off of Bayshore Boulevard. Restoring portions of the land for native plants, and/or plants to offset carbon emissions from cars on HWY 101. Creating a bike/walking path.

Rail yard for California High Speed Rail

FACILITIES, IMPROVED TRANSPORTATION, ROADS,BUSES, ETC

1. I live in the newer part of Brisbane toward the back part of the complex is there anything we can do about keeping the back part of the 1 lane road open all the time. ie..only construction can use this road that leads into Brisbane. You can't drive using this lane out of Brisbane. 2. can we do so

More public transportation that connects Brisbane to the Baylands and to all other cities and towns in the area like SF and SoSF

Thoughtful planning and execution of increased public transit access and plentiful parking by Caltrain and light rail. Ideally, more frequent stops of Caltrain at Bayshore, or better, at a new station by the car park on Bayshore. Lack of access to public transit is our #1 issue.

EASY ACCESS INFORMATION ON ALTERNATE TRANSPORTATION OR BUS ROUTES-NO EFFICIENT TIME CONNECTIONS TO SOME TO AIRPORT, TO HIGH SCHOOLS, TO MAJOR MASS, TO BART - I KNOW NOW AFTER ASKING/DIGGING THRU TOURSPEOPLE, LOCAL GOVT.

Strategic connectivity to public transportation.

Providing support facility for high speed /electrified train system such as a maintenance yard which could be built in a box structure and hidden under either parkland or office buildings. This would provide a location for high value infrastructure that is clean and provide well paying skilled jobs

SHUTTLE TO CALTRAIN STATION AND AIRPORT; ENTRANCE AND EXIT FROM 101.

EXPANSION ON QUESTION "[11]E" - NEED TO IMPROVE PUBLIC TRANSIT.

Do not develop the Baylands for housing, retail or business. Instead they should be kept as natural as possible for the birds and wildlife that is left in the area. Hiking trails, creeks, streams and wetland areas should be preserved at all costs before they are gone entirely.

WE ALREADY HAVE A LOT OF LOW RENT HOUSING WE DO NOT NEED HIGHRISE AFFORDABLE HOUSING.KEEPING RECOLOGY FROM EXPANDING MORE. PUT SF GARBAGE IN SAN FRANCISCO

THESE QUESTIONS ARE BADLY CONCEIVED. IS IT IMPORTANT TO ME WHETHER OR NOT "HOUSING THAT WORKING FAMILIES CAN AFFORD" IS INCLUDED? IT IS IMPORTANT TO ME THAT IT NOT BE INCLUDED. THAT IS JUST ONE EXAMPLE. ANOTHER GOAL: PROTECT AND ENHANCE WILDLIFE HABI

NO NEW HOUSING DEVELOPMENT

KEEP THE AREA FOR BUSINESS-USE ONLY

Any housing on the Baylands will have the potential to destroy the quality of life of Brisbane.

IM NOT IN FAVOR OF HIGH DENSITY OCCUPANCY

THAT NO ADDITIONAL HOUSING BE BUILT ON BAYLANDS

I WANT NO HOUSING

I DO NOT WANT A STRIP-MALL IN THIS AREA. WILL BRISBANE HIRE MORE POLICE OFFICERS TO PATROL, SINCE THIS AREA COULD POTENTIALLY ATTRACT CRIME?

I DO NOT WANT TO SEE A SOULESS COMPLEX BIG BOX RETAIL AND TO BE TOLD IT IS THE ONLY WAY TO GENERATE MONEY TO PAY FOR DEVELOPMENT. I ALSO DO NOT WANT TO SEE HOUSING. (DOUBLE OR TRIPLE THE SIZE OF THE TOWN) BUILT ON A GARBAGE DUMP AND DRAINING CITY RESOURC

GIVEN ITS GEOGRAPHICAL PROXIMITY TO CENTRAL BRISBANE, RETAIL, COMMERCIAL, HOUSING AND ENTERTAINMENT VENUES ARE ESSENTIAL. MORE RESIDENTS OPENS UP BUSINESS/RETAIL OPTIONS. IN ADDITION, LOCAL TAXES GENERATED PROVIDE MORE MONEY FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES

WE DONT NEED OTHER OFFICE PARK OR SHOPPING MALL



**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

KEEP BIG BUSINESS AND FOOD CHAINS OUT OF BRISBANE. PROVIDING OUTREACH PROGRAMS FOR PEOPLE IN NEED OF HELP

NO CHAIN RESTUARANTS IN THE BAYLANDS OR AT THE VERY LEAST NOT A STRIP MALL LOOKING PLACE BUT BUILDING INTO THE SURROUNDING AREA VERSUS SEEING NAME BRAND MARLINGS LIKE MISSION SAN JOSE (IN FREMONT)

CLEAN IT UP RESPONSIBLY SO THAT YOU WOULD WANT TO LIVE THERE. SOME HOUSING IS OK. 4,000 UNITS IS NOT OK. MONEY TO BRISBANE SCHOOL DISTRICT IS IMPORTANT.

Not just covering up the toxins but removing them. Safety. Earthquake safety. Water inundation issues. Bay pollution. Increased greenhouse gases. degradation of the quality of life. Population pressures.

PROTECTING THE CITY OF BRISBANE AND IT'S TAXPAYERS FROM ANY AND ALL LITIGATION, CLAIMS, LAWSUITS, ETC., THAT ARE SURE TO COME FROM BUILDING HOMES ON LAND THAT HAS BEEN CONTAMINATED - NOW AND IN THE FUTURE. DEVELOPERS NEED TO BE ON THE HOOK FOR THIS - NO

CLEANING UP TOXIC LANDFILL, NOT JUST CAPPING IT!

No housing at all due to the toxicity of the land.

I AM EXTREMELY CONCERN ABOUT HOUSING ON THE BAYLANDS. IT IS A TOXIC SITE AND WE DO NOT CURRENTLY HAVE THE TECHNOLOGY TO MITIGATE THE POTENIAL (UNKNOWN) IMPACT OF THE TOXIC STEW ON FAMILIES WHO MIGHT UNWITTINGLY PURCHASE A HOME PLOPPED IN THE MIDDLE OF THE

ELIMINATING KINDER MORGAN TANK FARM - THERE IS EVIDENCE THAT THIS SITE IS CURRENTLY POLLUTING THE SOIL AND WATER ON AND NEAR THIS SITE. FURTHERMORE, THIS SITE COULD BE EXTREMELY DANGEROUS BECAUSE IT IS EXTREMELY FLAMMABLE. IT WOULD EVEN BE A POTENTIAL SI

SITE REMEDIATION SHOULD BE CONSISTENT WITH SPECIFIC LAND USES APPROVED (ITEM O IS AMBIGUOUS)

AN OUTDOOR MALL WITH RETAIL, DINING, MOVIE THEATERS, OUTDOOR AMPHITHEATER; GAS STATION; MORE TREES AND GRASS AREA; RUNNING AND BIKING TRAILS.

BRISBANE NEEDS TO GROW: SHOPS; RESTAURANTS; PARKS; [AND] GAS STATIONS.

YES-IT'S NOT JUST THAT I WANT MORE RESTAURANTS AND SHOPPING-I WANT THEM TO REFLECT BRISBANE SMALL BUSINESSES NOT A BUNCH OF STARBUCKS AND OTHER MAINSTREAM RETAIL OUTLETS

A GROCERY STORE, LIKE A TRADER JOE'S, IS A MUST; A LOCAL HIGH SCHOOL

A GROCERY STORE WOULD BE NICE. BETTER TRANSIT IS ESSENTIAL, BUT I WOULD NOT MIND IF THERE IS NO RETAIL BUILT AT ALL.

WHAT IF WE PURSUED MOVING THE COMMERCIAL AREA OF CROCK PARK TO THE BAYLANDS AND CREATED A DAY HOME SINGLE/MULTI FAMILY AND SMALL RETAIL DINING AREA SURROUNDED BY PARKS IN CROCK PARK

SUPERMARKET/RESTAURANT

Luring a grocery store such as Whole Foods or Sprouts to the area.

HOW ABOUT A REAL GROCERY STORE THAT CAN AND WILL BE USED BY THE PEOPLE OF BRISBANE, S. SAN FRANCISCO, DALY CITY (GENEVA CORRIDOR), HUNTERS POINT, BAYVIEW, ETC.

NOT JUST SHOPPING BUT A MAJOR GROCERY STORE OR TRADER JOES

We need a large supermarket like a Safeway and a Walgreens/CVS store.

GIVING BRISBANE A REASONABLE CHAIN GROCERY STORE AND AFFORDABLE GAS STATION

ANY FUTURE DEVELOPMENT SHOULD NOT BE A REASON TO EXPAND OUR ALREADY OVER STAFFED POLICE DEPARTMENTS. AS A LONG TIME RESIDENT I FEEL THAT THE SERVICES PROVIDED ARE NOT EQUAL TO THE STAFFING AND COST, RATHER THAN MAKING OUR TOWN A SPEED TRAP THE LOSS OF EM

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

AS NOTED I THINK HAVING A TRADER JOE'S IN TOWN ON BAYLANDS WOULD HAVE A VERY POSITIVE IMPACT

PROPER ZONING-RETAIL STORES ON 1ST FLOOR, OFFICES ON SECOND FLOOR-NO HIGH RISES/NO BIG BOX STORES, I'VE SEEN THIS KILL COMMUNITIES/KEEP BRISBANE CULTURE BY PROMOTING SMALL BUSINESSES AND SMALLER/LOCAL CHAINS/PROMOTE FARM TO TABLE AND LOCAL/CA RESOURCED S

NOT HAVING VIEWS AND NEIGHBORHOOD DROWNED IN A SEA OF DEVELOPMENT MONEY OPPOSED TO LARGE/TALL STRUCTURES THAT CAN POTENTIALLY BLOCK VIEWS.

OPPOSE LARGE STRUCTURES THAT HAVE POTENTIAL TO BLOCK VIEWS.

AFFORDABLE HOMES FOR LOW INCOME FAMILIES. NOW THAT SF IS MORE POPULAR HOUSING PRICES HAVE GONE UP BY A LOT

providing low-income housing mixed in with market-rate housing

I THINK SAN MATEO COUNTY SHOULD HAVE A RENT CONTROL LAW FOR PEOPLE FORCED TO BE STUCK LIVING IN APARTMENTS WHEN THESE APARTMENT TENANTS WOULD PREFER MOST LIKELY LIVING IN A HOUSE OR HAVING THE ABILITY TO SAVE MONEY FOR A HOUSE

INCREASEING SENIOR HOUSING AND OR THE ABILITY OF SENIORS TO STAY IN THEIR HOMES

Build affordable single family homes for the working class. Not free or section 8 low income housing. Would like to see a Habitat for Humanity type program there. Where the future home owner has to be invented in the building of the home, and must meet the financial requirements to qualify for the

PROVIDE BOTH HOME OWNERSHIP AND RENTALS/PROVIDE QUALITY HOMES

RECOLOGY (SAN FRANCISCO'S GARBAGE) SHOULD GO BACK TO SAN FRANCISCO. NOT BRISBANE

THE ROUNDHOUSE SHOULD BE USED AS A RAILROAD MUSEUM; HIGH SPEED RAIL SHOULD HAVE A SMALL PLACE TO SERVICE THEIR TRAINS (APPROX. 20 ACRES).

PRESERVATION OF THE HISTORIC ROUNDHOUSE AS A CULTURAL LOCATION RUN BY A NON PROFIT OR PARK AND REC UTILIZATION OF THE BUILDING FOR A RAIL ROAD HISTORY MUSEUM

Need a railroad museum in the roundhouse. It's the only brick roundhouse in the state still standing on its own foundation and is a perfect venue to preserve historic railroad equipment, and the culture and KNOWLEDGE that the railroad workers brought to Brisbane.

CREATING A SUSTAINABLE, MIXED USE COMMUNITY THAT RESPECTS BRISBANE'S BEDROOM COMMUNITY BUT PROVIDES INNOVATIVE NON-VEHICLE TRANSPORTATION WITHIN THE BAYLANDS EQ BICYCLE WALK. CREATE A DYNAMIC, ENERGETIC VERVE BY DESIGNING BUILDINGS AND SPACES THAT INSPIR

MAKE THE BAYLANDS USABLE. IT IS CURRENTLY A WASTELAND. I DO NOT CARE WHAT FOR -- MAKE IT A GOLF COURSE -- WHATEVER. BUT I CARE IMMENSELY THAT IT BE MADE A BEAUTIFUL SHOW CASE FOR RECLAIMING TOXIC LAND. IF U.P.C. KILLS ANYONE BY UNDERMINING FACTS OR C

1) REGULATING RESIDENTIAL / COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT (AESTHETICS, SIZE, NEIGHBORHOOD, ETC.); 2) MAINTAIN / NURTURE ARTIST COMMUNITY TO BRING PEOPLE IN AND CREATE DIVERSITY; AND 3) CREATE OHLNE MONUMENTS / ATTRACTIONS FOR S.F. TOURISTS.

#NAME?

SUSTAINABILITY-SAFETY-THESE ARE PRIMARY CONCERNS, WAY ABOVE AMENITIES-VIEWS-SHOPPING- YADA YADA. THE AVERAGE AMERICAN DOESN'T GET THIS. IT'S THE CITY'S JOB TO LEAD AND NOT JUST CAVE IN TO WHINING PRIVILIGED FOLKS WHO LIKE TO STROLL AND WINDOW SHOP. WE NE

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

I DONT BELEIVE PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THE IMPORTANCE OF THE RIGHT TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT AND WHAT IT REALLY MEANS FOR A SMALL TOWN LIKE BRISBANE THAT WANTS TO CONTINUE TO BE A FULL SERVICE CITY. TAKES MONEY DIVERSIFICATION OF INDUSTRIES REVENUE GENERATING BUSINE

I feel strongly that Brisbane should work to cultivate an arts oriented leaning and incorporate this into the overall Baylands development concept. Possibly develop new structures for artist studios or rehabilitate older structures for this purpose. I would also like to see strong preference give

Item o: the developer or development should pay for all infrastructure, regardless of amount of development, and remediation is a cost of the land, it is their responsibility, they took that risk when they purchased the land.

reasonable growth and housing

Do not let Paragon build houses on this site! They started out saying they couldn't because of the contamination. What has changed? Building houses makes developers rich, not municipalities; please keep this in mind. I would like to see a goal of transitioning the old dirty industrial nature of t

THEY ARE PLAYING THE LONG LONG LONG GAME. WE MUST CONTINUE TO HOLD ONTO OUR VALUES AND GOALS -- DO NOT BEND TO THEIR CONTINUED PRESSURE FOR MORE, MORE, MORE DEVELOPMENT, WHICH IS THEIR ONLY GOAL. THEY ARE HERE FOR THE MONEY -- PERIOD. WE ARE HERE, GEN

DON'T BUILD IT

Anything that preserves some area for protected wildlife.

just making sure the land is clean up and safe for whatever they build.

FOSTERING COMMUNITY WITH THE EXPANDING CITY OF BRISBANE; ENSURING SCHOOL DISTRICT REMAINS STRONG FISCALLY AND PERTAINING TO THE QUALITY OF EDUCATION.

CREATING COMMUNITY THAT SUPPORTS THE EXISTING CITY OF BRISBANE AS THE CENTER.

PROVIDING NEW RECREATIONAL FACILITIES FOR THE COMMUNITY RESIDENTS AND AS [A] REVENUE GENERATOR FOR THE CITY (NRF SOCCER FIELD COMPLEX, BASEBALL FIELDS, GYMNASIUM..).

KEEPING THE POPULATION FROM EXPANDING TO MORE THAN DOUBLE

HAVING A NARCOTICS ANNONYMOUS GROUP IN BRISBANE/GET THE DRUGS OUT OF BRISBANE

KEEPING EXPERIMENTAL AND TREND TYPE CONSTRUCTION OUT IT BECOMES COUNTER PRODUCTIVE AND COSTLY TO MAINTAIN, REPAIR AND REPLACE.

MORE SOCIAL EVENTS

HOUSING CONTROL VIA THE UPDATED GENERAL PLAN

ACCESS TO OUT OF TOWN ATTRACTIONS, ETC.

I AM CONCERNED THAT THE DEVELOPMENT WILL BE CONSTRUCTED ON BAY FILL LAND. LIQUIFICATION DURING EARTHQUAKES.

IT IS ABSOLUTELY NOT A GOAL TO PROVIDE PROFITS TO DEVELOPERS. BRISBANE CITY GOVERNMENT SHOULD IGNORE THIS AS A DRIVER TO DECISION MAKING.

THIS IS QUITE POSSIBLY THE MOST POORLY WRITTEN, BIASED SURVEY I HAVE EVER TAKEN.

GOAL: FIND SOMEONE THAT CAN WRITE A SURVEY THAT ACCURATELY GAUGES THE OPINIONS OF RESIDENTS.

ELIMINATE THE BUS SERVICE THAT WASHES BUSES EVERY DAY

Adherence to issues for visually handicapped.

IS IT UNREASONABLE TO THINK THAT GROWTH CAN CONTINUE INDEFINITELY

Get it done!!

N/A

NA

n/a

Does Brisbane have a plan or proposal to support and increase family/small businesses?

**Question 12: Are there any other goals that you find personally important that were not listed above?**

n/a

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

**Response**

LEAVE IN ALONE - KEEP IT UNSPOILED. LET THE BIRDS HAVE IT. IF THE CITY NEEDS MONEY, HAVE A FEW OFFICE BUILDINGS. ABSOLUTELY NO RESIDENTIAL CONSTRUCTION SHOULD BE ALLOWED

THEY SHOULD LEAVE IT BE, CLEAN ANY CONTAMINATION BUT DON'T BUILD ON OR NEAR IT.

LEAVE IT ALONE

I REALLY THINK IT SHOULD BE LEFT ALONE.

LEAVE IT AS IS. TRAFFIC WOULD BE HORRIBLE. IT SHOULD BE A GHETTO AND ATTRACT CRIME.

LEFT AS IS

NOTHING

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE LEFT ALONE

NOT MUCH. IT WILL BRING IN TRAFFIC AND BUILDINGS THAT OBSTRUCT VIEWS

LEAVE IT THE HELL ALONE. DON'T LET BRISBANE BE WRECKED LIKE SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO

LEAVE IT AS IS. I WOULD NOT WANT TO DEAL WITH THE ADDED PEOPLE AND TRAFFIC.

NOTHING

LEAVE IT ALONE, DON'T PUT HOUSING OF ANY KIND.

I DON'T LIKE TOO MUCH CHANGE I'M SCARED ALL THIS WILL CHANGE BRISBANE FOREVER AND WE CAN'T GET IT BACK

LEAVE BRISBANE JUST THE WAY IT IS

LEAVE IT ALONE

NOTHING CONTAMINATED LAND

LEAVE THINGS AS THEY ARE

LEAVE THE BAYLANDS AS THEY ARE. WILDLIFE NEEDS THE SPACE.

NOTHING.

LEAVE IT AS IS

LEAVE IT AS IS ENOUGH BUILDING CROWDEDNESS, TRAFFIC BIG DEVELOPERS IN IT FOR THE MONEY

LEAVE AS IS

LEAVE IT ALONE!

NOTHING

NOTHING

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED WITH 50% HOUSING AND RETAIL AND THE OTHER 50% PRESERVING WETLANDS AND OPEN SPACES. INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRAFFIC CONGESTION SHOULD BE ADDRESSED. DEVELOPERS AND BRISBANE CITY OFFICIALS SHOULD ALSO TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE DEVELO

THOUGHTFUL MIX OF USE THAT INCLUDES RESTAURANTS AND RETAIL THAT IS AIMED AT CREATING COMMUNITY (NOT BOX STORES BUT SOME CHAINS OK LIKE TRADER JOE'S), SOME HOUSING THAT DOESN'T OVERWHELM CURRENT CITY IN NUMBERS OR STYLE/TYPE, PLENTY OF REC SPACE THAT'S SAFE AND WELL LIT, EXCELLENT TRANSIT ACCESS AND

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

NO MAJOR DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS! NO SHOPPING, HOUSING, OR SPORTS FACILITIES! CLEAN UP CONTAMINATION, IF POSSIBLE, AND RESTORE WETLANDS AND NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, AND PRESERVE OPEN SPACE.

COMMERCIAL AND SHOPPING CENTER HOTEL RESTAURANT BUT NO HOUSING ON DUMP SITE

LOW RISE BUSINESS, ENTERTAINMENT SUCH AS THEATERS (NO ARENAS) NOR BIG BOX CHAIN RETAIL IF ANY, RECREATION, OPEN SPACE, ENERGY PRODUCING NO HOUSING IF ANY THING AT ALL- OKAY WITH NOTHING

GROCERY SHOPPING, RESTAURANTS, PARKS AND TRAILS.

RETAIL AND ENTERTAINMENT SPACE, GROCERY STORE, OPEN SPACE/RECREATION, SOME CONDOS

IT NEEDS TO BE CLEANED UP AND MADE SAFE, AND DEVELOPED TO SUPPORT MUCH NEEDED COMMUNITY BENEFITS (GROCERY STORE, DRUG STORE, PET FOOD STORE, SAFE OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION) WITHOUT IMPACTING OUR SMALL TOWN CHARACTER IN EXISTING RESIDENTIAL AREAS, AND WITHOUT NEGATIVE IMPACTS TO WATER/ENERGY.

SHOPS; RESTAURANTS; GROCERY STORE; PARK; LIMITED HOUSING

RETAIL OPEN AREAS PARKS

BUILD A STRIP MALL WITH A DRUGSTORE AND LARGE GROCERY STORE; THE REST -- MAKE A PARK AND WALKING AREA.

RETAIL AND RESTAURANTS THAT SMALL BIZ OWNERS CAN GET INTO. NOT ALL MAINSTREAM BIG BOX RETAIL. CLEAN IT UP-BETTER PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION/PARKS AND REC

RETAIL, RECREATION, RESTAURANTS

AGREE WITH EXPANSION OF BUSINESS SHOPS AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.

RETAIL GROCERY STORE GAS STATION RESTAURANTS NICE HOTEL SMALL PARKS WALKING TRAILS GYMNASIUM

MAKE IT A PLACE THAT ATTRACT BUSINESSES THAT AT LEAST IN PART REFLECT THE VALUES OF OUR COMMUNITY INCLUDING CREATIVITY, SUSTAINABILITY AND THE SAN BRUNO MOUNTAIN.

RETAIL SHOPPING, MINOR HOUSING, PARKS, TRANSPORTATION

SOME BUSINESS, SOME HOUSING, LOTS OF RECREATIONAL FACILITIES AND OPEN SPACE.

BAYLAND SHOULD BE EXPANDED TO INCLUDE SHOPPING, HOUSING AND AREAS OF RECREATION. CURRENTLY IT IS WASTED SPACE

IT SHOULD BE USED FOR BOTH COMMERCIAL RETAIL AND MUTE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES IT IS A WASTE TO LET SIT THERE CONTAMINATED AND UNTOUCHABLE

BAYLAND CAN BE CLEANED UP BEAUTIFIED AND PUT INTO GOOD USE, FOR MORE RETAILS HOUSING AND RECREATIONAL USES THAT WILL GENERATE ADDITIONAL RESOURCES FOR FUNDING BETTER SCHOOLS AND PUBLIC SERVICES

DEVELOP HOUSING AND RETAIL TO PROVIDE FUTURE SUSTAINABILITY FOR BRISBANE

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

WE NEED A DECENT SUPERMARKET, SOME RETAIL, AND RESTAURANTS, HOUSING, A TRANSIT HUB (FREE SHUTTLE) FOR CAL TRAIN AND BART. OLD-TOWN BRISBANE CAN REMAIN UNAFFECTED WHILE NEEDED CONSUMER BUSINESSES DEVELOP.

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED TO PROVIDE RETAIL (SPECIFICALLY GROCERY); DINING; HOUSING; CHARTER SCHOOL; AND [ILLEGIBLE] TO TRANSIT. ALL OF BRISBANE WOULD BENEFIT.

DEVELOP THE LAND INTO AN AREA THAT CONTAINS IMPROVED RETAIL AND ENTERTAINMENT, PUBLIC SPACE AND SOME AMOUNT OF HOUSING.

OPPORTUNITY TO BUILD BUSINESSES, RETAIL AND HOUSING IN A LARGE UNDEVELOPED TRACT CLOSE TO SF AND AIRPORT. PREVENT MORE URBAN SPRAWL.

SHOULD ALLOW FORWARD LOOKING AND PLANNING FOR SHOPPING, HOUSING ETC. SO BRISBANE CAN KEEP UP WITH OTHER WELL PLANNED COMMUNITIES IN THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

RETAIL SPACES THAT GENERATE TAX DOLLARS FOR CITY USE

I FAVOR HIGH DENSITY COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT BECAUSE IT CAN PAY FOR THE COST OF CITY GOVERNMENT. I OPPOSE ANYTHING THAT PUTS BRISBANE PROPERTY TAXPAYERS AT RISK, LIKE OPEN SPACE, HOUSING, AND CLEAN ENERGY.

SHOPPING, DINING, TRANSPORTATION, AND PARKS

DEVELOP THE AREA BUT NOT A LOT OF HOUSING. SOME RETAIL, BUSINESS AND TRANSIT HUB. BRISBANE COULD USE A CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL, WHICH WOULD BE SMALLER ENROLLMENT FOR THE SIZE OF OUR COMMUNITY.

SHOPPING, NO BIG BOX STORES, RESTAURANTS, A HIGH SCHOOL, OPEN SPACE

IT WILL BE GOOD FOR THE CITY; IT WILL REVITALIZE BRISBANE AND BRING NEEDED RETAIL AND, HOPEFULLY, A SCHOOL.

MOST OF BAYLANDS SHOULD BE OPEN SPACE PARK, WITH SOME RETAIL AND OFFICE. THE RETAIL SHOULD CONSIST OF SERVICES NEEDED BY BRISBANE RESIDENTS AND NOT THOSE OF SAN FRANCISCO (A GROCERY STORE, RESTAURANT AND BAR). THE BAYLANDS SHOULD CONTAIN FENCING/GATING AND SECURITY SO THAT IT DOES NOT BECOME A HOMEL

SOME COMMERCIAL/RETAIL. RESEARCH FACILITIES WOULD BE GREAT, GENERIC OFFICES NOT SO MUCH. SOME RESIDENTIAL IS OK, BUT I WANT BRISBANE TO STAY SMALL.

SHOPS OK. OFFICES OK. HOUSING ESPECIALLY APARTMENTS \*\*NOT\*\* OK.

RETAIL AND BUSINESS CAMPUSES WOULD BE GOOD NOTHING BUILT HIGHER THAN 4 STORIES TO PRESERVE VIEW AND IMPACT. HOUSING ONLY BY ALONG BAY SHORE-AWAY FROM TOXINS

I THINK A THEATRE SHOULD BE ON THE BAYLANDS

IT WOULD BE GREAT IF I DIDN'T HAVE TO DRIVE TO SF, SOUTH SF, DALY CITY, OR SAN BRUNO TO GO GROCERY SHOPPING AND EAT

RETAIL AND BUSINESS SHOULD ONLY BE BUILT.

RETAIL DEVELOPMENT OR RESTAURANTS

HIGH QUALITY RETAIL AND OTHER SERVICES CURRENTLY NOT AVAILABLE TO BRISBANE RESIDENTS

RETAIL

BUILD A MINI MALL AND FITNESS CENTER

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

DEVELOP MORE RETAIL AND SHOPPING AND DINING OPTIONS

THEY SHOULD PROVIDE BETTER RETAIL SHOPS AND ENTERTAINMENT. WE CAN'T SHOP IN LEYLAND AVE DUE TO THE VIOLENT GANG THREATS. WE NEED BETTER SHOPPING OPTIONS, EVEN A SUPERMARKET, MOVIE THEATRE, BETTER RESTAURANT CHOICES, ETC.

OPEN IT UP FOR HEAVY INDUSTRIES. WHAT IS NEEDED IS AUTO SALVAGE YARDS, LIKE PICK-N-PULL OR PICK-YOUR-PART.

RETAIL AND BUSINESS ONLY

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE POPULAR STORES.

ZERO HOUSING -- SOME HOTELS -- LOTS OF PUBLIC USABLE SPACE -- LOW KEY COMMERCIAL-- LOW KEY MANUFACTURING -- NO LIGHT POLLUTION -- ENERGY EFFICIENT THROUGHOUT -- VISUALLY APPEALING -- NO CAR LOTS -- NO HOUSING, PERIOD

ABSOLUTELY NO HOUSING BUILT. KEEP AS MUCH OPEN SPACE AS POSSIBLE.

HOUSING IS A NON-ISSUE. IT CAN'T HAPPEN. DEVELOPERS PAY TO CLEAN UP THE TOXICS. IF THEY CAN'T MAKE A GO OF IT, DON'T SETTLE FOR A MINDLESS PLAN OF FUTURE EMPTY BUSINESS. THINK BIG -- IDEAS, NOT BUSINESS; NOT HOUSING.

HOUSING ONLY IN AREAS WITH LOW CONTAMINATION REMEDIATION COSTS, ENHANCE NATURAL AREAS ADJACENT TO CONTAMINATION ZONES TO ASSIST REMEDIATION THEREOF, USE SUSTAINABILITY PRACTICES IN PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT TO MINIMIZE MAINTENANCE COSTS.

NO HOUSING DEVELOPMENT/TRANSIT HUBS OK HOTELS OK/LIGHT INDUSTRY OK/30% TO OPEN SPACE

NO HOUSING OR STRIP MALLS. OPEN SPACES, PARKS, TRAILS, ETC. ARE A BETTER USE OF THE SPACE

AS I SAID PREVIOUSLY, I STRONGLY OPPOSE HOUSING, ANY SHOPPING AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT IN THAT AREA. I WOULD PREFER OPEN WETLAND, PARKS AND AMENITIES FOR OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES.

NO FUTURE PLANS SHOULD INCLUDE A SPORTS ARENA, RETAIL OPPORTUNITIES OR HOUSING. I PURCHASED A HOME HERE 16 YEARS AGO SEEKING A REPRIEVE FROM THE CONSTANT DEVELOPMENT STRANGLING THE BAY AREA.

NO HOUSING DEVELOPMENT BUT OPEN TO LIGHT INDUSTRY AND RECREATION EXPANSION.

NO HOUSING. PEOPLE CAN NOT LIVE SAFELY IN A GREATLY CONTAMINATED AREA. WILD LIFE AREA

NO LOW INCOME HOUSING OR BIG STORES

DO NOT PUT HOUSING OR RETAIL THERE. IT'S NOT SAFE. WE--THE CURRENT RESIDENTS OF BRISBANE-- WOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR NEGATIVE HEALTH OUTCOMES OF THOSE WHO LIVED THERE.

NO MORE HOUSING UNITS LET'S BE DIFFERENT

NO HOUSING, NO HOUSING, NO HOUSING

SOME DEVELOPMENT BUT NO NEW HOUSING NONE PERIOD

THE BAYLANDS WOULD BE AN APPROPRIATE LOCATION FOR ANY NUMBER OF USES, NONE OF WHICH IS, NOR WOULD EVER BE, HOUSING.

ANY DEVELOPMENT MUST BE LIMITED TO COMMERCIAL USE. NO HOUSING. WE ALREADY HAVE TWO BRISBANE FS, WE DON'T NEED A THIRD RESIDENTIAL AREA, ESPECIALLY ONE TWICE THE SIZE OF CURRENT BRISBANE.



**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

NO HOUSING. THE MORE HOUSING CREATES MORE TRAFFIC, CRIME AND MORE PARKING PROBLEMS

NO RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE BAYLANDS

DEVELOP WITH NO HOUSING

PARKS, BALL FIELDS, GROCERIES, RESTAURANTS, SHOPS, THEATRES SOME AFFORDABLE HOUSING

LOTS OF PARKS OPEN AND GREEN SPACE RETAIL STORES GROCERY HEALTH FOOD STORES HIGH SCHOOL

LET'S DO SOMETHING GOOD. OPEN SPACE PARK RECREATIONAL AREA AND MAYBE A PLACE WITH A FEW QUALITY PLACES TO EAT AFTER YOU GO TO THE NICE PARK

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT ALL OPEN SPACE, BUT OFFICE TECH WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE WITH TONS OF OPEN SPACE

CLEAN IT UP - TAKE OUT CONTAMINANTS, REMOVE OLD BUILDINGS, RESTORE THE NATURAL HABITAT.

KEEP OPEN SPACE, DEVELOP IT FOR PARK USE, EDUCATION, RENEWABLE ENERGY. HIRE URBAN DESIGNERS TO CREATE BEAUTY AND ARCHITECTS TO DESIGN BUILDINGS THAT WILL BRING BEAUTY IN NATURAL MATERIALS SO THAT IT WILL BE TIMELESS

ENVIRONMENTAL RECLAMATION. RENEWABLE ENERGY FACILITIES. LIGHT INDUSTRY OR TECHNICAL/RESEARCH COMMERCIAL FACILITIES.

SOME OPEN SPACE, SOME SOLAR FARM, SMALL NUMBER OF OFFICES

BRISBANE HAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE AN AREA THAT BRINGS BACK THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT, HAS SUSTAINABLE ENERGY, AND RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT FACILITIES. HOWEVER, BUILDING A CITY WITH 3 TIMES THE CORRECT POPULATION OF BRISBANE WILL BIFIXATE THE TOWN, AND

MOSTLY OPEN SPACE, PARKS, RECREATIONAL FACILITIES, A FEW OFFICE BUILDINGS AND A LOT OF RENEWABLE ENERGY FACILITIES

BUILD PARKS, MAYBE SOLAR OR ALTERNATIVE ENERGY

PRESERVATION OF OPEN SPACE WITH RENEWABLE ENERGY GENERATION AND MINIMUM OF TRAFFIC

I WOULD WANT TO SEE AN EXPANSION OF OPEN SPACE AND WILDLIFE HABITAT. ALSO, SOME SOLAR OR SIMILAR RENEWABLE ENERGY. CRISSY FIELD IN S.F., AS AN EXAMPLE OF HABITAT RESTORATION.

OPEN SPACE, PARKS, SOLAR FARM

I BELIEVE THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED WITH A MIX OF OPEN SPACE, RECREATION, BUSINESS AND AFFORDABLE HOUSING (IF SAFE).

OPEN SPACE-RECREATION, SOME SHOPPING/DINING

OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION WITH SOME SHOPPING/DINING

DEVELOP PARK & REC FACILITY OR RETAIL FACILITY

PARKS AND REC. NO MULTI-UNIT HOUSING!!!

OPEN SPACE, TRAILS AND SOLAR/WIND "FARMS," WITH SOME RETAIL (GROCERY STORES).

TO HAVE A MIXTURE OF OPEN LAND TRAIL PARKS AND AMENITIES WITH HIGH ENERGY EFFICIENCY INFRASTRUCTURE THAT MAINTAIN AND ENHANCE VIEWS.

PARKS, OPEN SPACE, BIKE PATHS CONNECTION TO BAY TRAIL, SOLAR AND OR WIND FARM, HOUSING CLOSE TO TRANSIT HUB

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

OPEN SPACE TRAILS DOG FRIENDLY SMALL PORTION AFFORDABLE HOUSING SMALL PORTION AMENITIES

HOPE THAT COMMUNITY GETS A BALANCE OF OPEN SPACE AND TRAILS, SOME WAY TO GENERATE CONSERVATIVE TAX SUPPORT AND A HUB TO TRANSPORTATION CONNECTIONS. POSSIBLY AT EDGES OF BORDER, HOUSING ESP FOR TEACHERS, FIREFIGHTERS OR OTHER LOCAL, IMPACTED CITY EMPLOYEE

PARKS, WALKING TRAILS, BETTER CAL TRAIN STATION, SIGNAGE, SOME NEW AFFORDABLE HOUSING, NO THEATER, YES TO PUBLIC ART, MAINTAIN CLEAN UP THE WASTE TOXINS

OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION (NO DEVELOPMENT) SOUTH OF THE CREEK! ANY DEVELOPMENT NORTH -- LIMITED TO 3-STORIED [BUILDINGS]; RENEWABLE ENERGY.

THEY SHOULD BE MADE INTO OPEN SPACE PRESERVE WITH WALKING AND BIKING TRAILS.

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE AS MUCH AS: OPEN SPACES AS POSSIBLE REJUVENATE BAYLANDS AREA INCREASE RECREATIONAL AREAS

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE LEFT RELATIVELY UNCHANGED ANY DEVELOPMENT SHOULD REFLECT BUILDING NEW PARKS, EXPANDING BIKE LANES, OR EXPANDING OPEN SPACE AND WILD LIFE HABITAT

PARKS, RECREATION, TRAILS.

LEAVE ALONE OR DEVELOP AS OPEN SPACE/TRAILS, ETC.

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A MORE NATURAL SETTING SUCH AS A PARK, WALKING TRAILS, RECREATIONAL ACTIVITIES

KEEP THE BAYLANDS AS NATURAL AS POSSIBLE. THE AREA SHOULD BE USED AS A REFUGE FOR BIRDS AND WILDLIFE WHILE WE STILL HAVE BIRDS AND WILDLIFE IN THE AREA. HIKING AND BIKE TRAILS SHOULD BE ALLOWED AS WELL AS OPEN SPACE FOR PARKS.

MOSTLY RECREATION/PARKS/OUT-DOOR ACTIVITY/WILDLIFE HABITAT

NOT MUCH. IT'S NICE HAVING AN OPEN SPACE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE BAY AREA. SOME GROWTH IS COMING HAVING A PLAN THAT IS BEST FOR TOWN AND OUR NEIGHBORS WOULD BE GREAT

PARKS HOUSING, SHOPPING- WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP THIS PROPERTY IN REALISTIC FASHION AND NOT JUST KEEP THE STATUS QUO

BRISBANE SHOULD ONLY USE/DEVELOP THE BAYLANDS FOR RECREATIONAL PURPOSE FOR BRISBANE RESIDENTS PARKS, TRAILS, GYM ONLY. NO HOUSING OR SHOPPING SHOULD HAPPEN OR IT WOULD RUIN BRISBANE

MAXIMIZE GREEN SPACE ALLOW LOW TO MODERATE INCOME HOUSING (LIMITING THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS) MAXIMIZE PUBLIC TRANSIT INCLUDING AN EXTENSION OF SF MUNI T LINE INTO BAYLANDS

PARKS AND OPEN SPACES; GOLF COURSE SOME RETAIL SHOPPING

A PARK - GOLF COURSE LEAVING IT UNDEVELOPED FOR HOUSING OR MALLS. WE HAVE ENOUGH CONGESTION AND A MORATORIUM SHOULD STAND FOR THESE LANDS

OPEN SPACE, PARK, ACCESS TO SF TRANSIT; CHARTER H.S.; FITNESS FACILITY, SHOPS, FOOD, SMALL LOCAL ENTERTAINMENT.

OPEN SPACE, PARKS WITH SOME LIGHT INDUSTRIAL AND/OR RETAIL. NO HOUSING!

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

PRESERVE OPEN SPACE AND INCREASING ACCESS TO THE LAGOON WHILE DEVELOPING THE NORTH HALF INTO RESIDENTIAL/RETAIL SPACE AND PROVIDING A NEW TRANSIT HUB WITH [ARCING FOR CAL TRAIN

MAKE AS MUCH OPEN SPACE AS POSSIBLE - TRY TO REJUVENATE THE WETLAND AREAS - THIS AREA IS CONNECTED TO THE CRITICALLY ENDANGERED S.F. BAY AND NEEDS TO BE PROTECTED FOR THE GENERAL WELLBEING OF THE ENTIRE S.F. BAY AREA!

REFORESTATION

TURN IT INTO A PARK DESTINATION ONE OF THE FEW IN THE AREA NEED TO PRESERVE THE HABITAT

I WOULD TELL A FRIEND, NEIGHBOR, OR COWORKER THAT THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE USED AS OPEN SPACE FOR WILDLIFE AND/OR A NATURE PRESERVE.

TURN IT INTO A NATURE PRESERVE

MORE OPEN SPACES

CREATE RECREATIONAL PARK -- GREEN SPACE

CREATING A NATURAL, OPEN SPACE FOR WILDLIFE AND PEOPLE TO CO-EXIST TOGETHER, MOVING BRISBANE FORWARD INTO THE FUTURE WHILE RESPECTING ITS PAST.

I APPRECIATE THE OPEN SPACE IN OUR CONGESTED LIVES

CREATE WETLANDS THAT TREAT GROUND AND SURFACE WATER FOR CONTAMINANTS; INSTALL SOLAR PANELS AND VERTICAL-AXIS WINDMILLS; AND PUT IN RAIL YARD FOR HIGH SPEED RAIL.

I LIKE THE OPEN SPACE-EVERYTHING ELSE IN THE BAY AREA IS ALREADY OVER CROWDED. WOULD SAY ISN'T IT NICE TO SEE OPEN SPACE THAT ACTS LIKE A BUFFER BETWEEN US AND THE REST OF THE URBAN SPRAWL

PARKS -- AND MOM WANTS A TRADER JOE'S.

IT WOULD BE A GREAT PARK AND NATURE PRESERVE

LEAVE AS OPEN SPACE NO DUMPING OF DIRT ETC

LEAVE IT AS OPEN SPACE

PLANT A LOT OF TREES

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD STAY OPEN SPACE

LEAVE IT AS OPEN SPACE AND PLANT TREES

OPEN SPACE NO DUMPING OF DIRT

MIXED USE WITH RETAIL AND PARK SPACE.

MIXED USE/SMALL TOWN FEEL/SOME SHOPS SOME HOUSING ARTS/EDUCATION SCENIC

MIX OF OPEN SPACE AND COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT (SHOPS/ENTERTAINMENT) WITH SOME LIGHT INDUSTRY IF SUITABLE

A BALANCE BETWEEN COMMERCIAL AND RETAIL SPACE AND OPEN SPACE

MIXED USE RESIDENTIAL RETAIL AND WORK SPACE

BUILD A NICE SUPER MARKET, A GAS STATION, MAYBE A STARBUCKS, A SMALL SET OF HOUSING UNITS, LEAVE TONS OF OPEN SPACE, USE SOME LAND TO GENERATE ENERGY WITH WIND POWER MAKE TUNNEL ROAD SAFER AND SIDEWALKS ALL OVER THE PLACE

DEVELOP MULTI-USE FACILITIES THAT INCORPORATE SOLAR ENERGY.

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

PARTITION OFF THE LAND/HALF FOR HOUSING AND A SUPER MARKET AND THE OTHER HALF LEAVE IN AS A NATURAL HABITAT

DEVELOP IT WITH A REASONABLE MIX OF RESIDENTIAL, BUSINESS, RECREATION FACILITIES AND OPEN SPACE.

MIXED USE HOUSING, WITH A GENEROUS MIX OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING, RETAIL, DINING, HOTEL, AND ENTERTAINMENT (NOT STADIUM-SIZE). GOOD CONNECTIONS TO TRANSIT. LOW POLLUTION INDUSTRY (E.G. BIOTECH, NOT TRUCKING AND WAREHOUSES). GOOD SIZED NATURE PRESERVE, TRAILS, BIKE PATHS. ECO-FRIENDLY DESIGN.

MIXED-USE THAT PAYS FOR ITSELF; A HIGH SCHOOL; A LITTLE HOUSING AND BUSINESS/INDUSTRY; WITH TRAILS AND PUBLIC ACCESS TO LAGOON (KAYAKS, ETC.).

A COMBINATION OF RESTORING/PRESERVING THE WETLAND LAGOON AND NATURAL AREAS WHILE ALSO PROVIDING AN AREA FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING THAT HAS A LOW IMPACT ON THE SURROUNDINGS

I'D SUPPORT MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT SO LONG AS THE NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS WAS LIMITED TO HALF THE CURRENT POPULATION OF BRISBANE. TRAFFIC CONGESTION AND BUILDING HEIGHTS ARE TWO OF MY CONCERNS. SINCE THE RESIDENTS ARE USED TO OPEN LAND CURRENTLY, PLENTY OF PARKLAND SHOULD BE FACTORED INTO IT'S DEVE

I THINK SOME OF THE BADLANDS SHOULD BE PRESERVED AND THE REST DEVELOPED. FURTHERMORE I DON'T FEEL THE BRISBANE POLICE CAN PATROL THE NEW LAND. THEY ARE CORRUPT AND HARASS PEOPLE.

IT'S A GREAT OPPORTUNITY FOR BRISBANE TO CONTINUE TO GROW AND KEEP UP WITH THE BAY AREA. IT WOULD BE NICE TO HAVE THE USE OF THE BAYLANDS AS IT CURRENTLY IS EMPTY SPACE THAT COULD PROVIDE GROWTH AND JOBS IN THE AREA

MAKE IT A DESTINATION SPOT FOR THE BAY AREA

PARTIAL DEVELOPMENT WITH SOME RESERVED OPEN SPACE

ENHANCE THE UNIQUE CHARACTER OF BRISBANE, WITH GREEN ACTIVITIES AND BUSINESS THAT DO NOT GENERATE TOO MUCH TRAFFIC

DEVELOP INTO A MIX OF OPEN SPACE AND MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT

CLEAN IT UP FOR THE FUTURE GENERATION; RAISE PROPERTY VALUE WITH PARKS, STORES, [AND] HOUSING.

CLEAN IT UP SO THAT IT IS SAFE FOR ALL LIVING THINGS, AND PROVIDE THE GOODS, SERVICES, AND JOBS SO THAT LEAVING BRISBANE IS A CHOICE, NOT A NECESSITY. PROVIDE SUSTAINABLE HOUSING BETWEEN THE TWO RAIL LINES.

CLEAN IT UP AND PROVIDE OPEN SPACE, RECREATION AREA, PARK

FIRST IT SHOULD BE CLEANED UP. THEN OPEN SPACE, PARK, RECREATIONAL FACILITIES

CLEAN UP POLLUTION AND DEVELOP AS OPEN SPACE (PARKS, TRAILS AND RECREATION); SOME HOUSING AND RETAIL

BAYLANDS SHOULD BE CLEANED. PLAN CAREFULLY TO PROVIDE NEEDS THAT ARE NOW MISSING IN BRISBANE. IT SHOULD BE A PLAZA TO ATTRACT ANYONE TO A CULTURAL, RECREATIONAL [AND] EDUCATIONAL EXPERIENCE, WITH SHOPPING.

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

CLEAN UP, OPEN SPACE (SINCE WE ARE LOSING MOST OF IT TO MASS DEVELOPMENT IN CALIFORNIA). OUR WATER RESOURCES ARE MAXED, TRAFFIC IS A NIGHTMARE, HOUSING IS SKYROCKETING AND WE ALREADY HAVE TOTAL UGLINESS WITH ALL OF THE BUILDING AT THE SHORELINE AND ON TH

WE SHOULD CONTAIN OR REMEDIATE CONTAMINATION BASED ON RISK ASSESSMENT, APPROVED BY THE STATE. DEVELOPMENT SHOULD BE MIXED USE TO PROVIDE A PLACE WHERE PEOPLE WANT TO BE, 24/7. SOUTH OF THE CENTRAL CHANNEL SHOULD BE PREDOMINANTLY RECREATIONAL USES. TO THE DEGREE PRACTICABLE AND REASONABLE PEDESTRI

REMOVE CONTAMINANTS AND THEN PROVIDE A SITE FOR RENEWABLE ENERGY, PUBLIC PARKS /ARTS, TOURIST ATTRACTIONS, AND SOME OFFICE, INDUSTRY, RETAIL/RESTAURANT SPACE THAT REFLECTS COMMUNITY, SPECIFICALLY, AND CREATES NON-CORPORATE JOBS.

THEY SHOULD BE REMEDIATED, RECOLOGY SHOULD EXPAND, AND AN INDUSTRIAL ENERGY PARK WITH SOME OFFICE SPACE SHOULD GO IN

IT SHOULD BE CLEANED UP, NOT COVERED UP AND THEN USED FOR CLEAN ENERGY PRODUCTION AND CLIMATE CRISIS R & D CAMPUS. COVERING IT UP IS NOT CLEANING IT UP. IF THEY CAN'T PROPERLY CLEAN IT UP, THEN LEAVE IT ALONE.

IT SHOULD BE CLEANED UP OF TOXINS AND BE TURNED INTO OPEN REC SPACE WITH SMALL SCALE RENEWABLE ENERGY (WIND TURBINES, SOLAR PANELS, ETC.)

Make them clean it up or cap it. Use it to meet county energy production

CLEAN IT; DEVELOP IT; MAKE THE BOUNDARIES FALL WITHIN THE BRISBANE SCHOOL DISTRICT; USE IT AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO CONNECT ALL OF BRISBANE THROUGH TRAILS. FIX THIS EYESORE!

I'M FOR CLEANING UP THE BAYLANDS, FOR RECREATIONAL PURPOSES MAINLY. DON'T WANT BAYLANDS OVER DEVELOPED

CLEAN IT UP AND DEVELOP IT SMARTLY. RIGHT NOW IT IS A WASTELAND AND AN EYE SORE AND USELESS

I THINK THE LAND SHOULD BE CLEANED UP AND UTILIZED FOR SOME ADDITIONAL HOUSING, AS WELL AS FACILITIES. THE CITY NEEDS, LIKE SHOPPING OPTIONS AND A HIGH SCHOOL.

THE AREA SHOULD BE DECONTAMINATED, SOME HOUSING, RETAIL SPACE BUILT WITH PARKS AND RECS AREAS

I LIKE THE IDEA OF CLEANING UP THE BAYLANDS, PUTTING HOUSING AND RETAIL AND PARKS TAXES BEAUTIFYING AND TOXINS VERY EXPENSIVE REAL ESTATE NOT TAKEN CARE OF. IT WILL ENHANCE OUR TOWN. CAN WE ALSO BEAUTIFY BRISBANE DOWNTOWN?

REMOVE CONTAMINATION, DEVELOP AFFORDABLE HOUSING, ALONG WITH PARKS AND RECREATION SPACES; LIMIT COMMERCIAL DEVELOPMENT.

THAT THE LAND OWNER SHOULD BE RESPONSIBLE FOR 100% OF THE CLEANUP AND LONG TERM COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH ANY DEVELOPMENT THERE. THAT ANY MODIFICATION MADE TO THE GENERAL PLAN TO ALLOW FOR HOUSING SHOULD REQUIRE A SIGNIFICANT PERCENTAGE (20%+) BE LOW INCOME. IT WOULD BE AWESOME IF THE CITY ALSO MODIFIED

-ENSURE CONTAMINANTS AREN'T CURRENTLY AFFECTING THE CITY AND SURROUNDING AREA - DEVELOP TO CREATE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IF IT'S SAFE

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

REMEDIATE IT, DEVELOP IT TO MAKE IT FUNCTIONING BENEFICIAL AND ATTRACTIVE FOR THE CITY AND CITIZENS AND PROVIDE AFFORDABLE HOUSING

THAT IT SHOULD BE CLEANED UP IN AN ENVIRONMENTALLY FRIENDLY WAY, IT SHOULD BE SOMEWHAT DEVELOPED WITH ADDITIONAL HOUSING, AND IT SHOULD KEEP THE SMALL-TOWN CHARACTER OF BRISBANE AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE.

MAKE SURE IT'S CLEAN, BUILD AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR OUR RESIDENTS FIRST

CLEAN UP THE CONTAMINANTS AS MUCH AS HUMANLY POSSIBLE. CREATE AN AESTHETICALLY APPEALING, SELF SUSTAINING, SELF CONTAINED AREA THAT ENHANCES BUT DOES NOT DETRACT FROM OUR TOWN.

CLEAN IT UP SO IT IS SAFE FOR DEVELOPMENT. BUILD DEVELOPMENT THAT PROVIDES SERVICES TO OUR COMMUNITY AND SURROUNDING AREAS AND ENHANCES OUR CITY'S TAX BASE. CREATE OFFICE SPACE/R AND THAT MAKES BRISBANE AN ATTRACTIVE PLACE TO WORK. ENHANCE OUR OPEN SPACE

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE REMEDIATED SO THERE IS NO LONGER ANY DANGER TO THE ENVIRONMENT AND LIVING SPECIES. IT SHOULD BE DEVELOPED FOR BUSINESSES AND ORGANIZATIONS THAT DO GOOD AND DO WELL: PRODUCE TAX REVENUE FOR THE CITY AND SUPPORT SUSTAINABILITY AND HEALTHY WORK AND RECREATION SPACES FOR THE COM

CLEAN IT UP. BUILD REVENUE GENERATING OFFICE SPACE. LIMIT HOUSING. EXPAND TRANSPORTATION.

IT NEEDS TO BE CLEANED UP AND THEN WE CAN THINK OF IT AS A PLACE FOR OUR COMMUNITY TO GROW

EVERYTHING SHOULD BE DONE TO ENSURE IT IS SAFE TO DEVELOP ON. THERE ARE MODELS FROM OTHER STATES IN WHICH THIS HAS BEEN DONE SUCCESSFULLY. BRISBANE SHOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO GROW AND BE A THRIVING COMMUNITY.

THE AREA NEEDS TO BE CLEANED UP AND DEVELOPED ACCORDING TO MODERN INFRASTRUCTURE AND ARCHITECTURE STANDARDS. IT'S AN EYE SORE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE MOST ATTRACTIVE URBAN AREAS IN THE WORLD.

WE NEED A SOLUTION THAT MINIMIZES THE RISKS POSED BY THE CONTAMINANTS ON THE SITE, DOESN'T CONTRIBUTE TO THE TRAFFIC CONGESTION IN THE AREA AND PROMOTES SOLUTIONS TO CLIMATE CHANGE, SUCH AS SOLAR OR WIND POWER INSTALLATIONS

CLEAN IT UP

IN ORDER FOR THE BAYLANDS TO BE PROPERLY DEVELOPED, THE COMMUNITY NEEDS TO BE ASSURED THAT THE TESTING AND THE REMEDIATION OF THE CONTAMINATION HAS BEEN PERFORMED AT THE HIGHEST STANDARDS, AND THAT THE OVERSIGHT OF ANY CONTAMINATION THAT REMAINS ON SIGHT IS PROPERLY MONITORED AND FUNDED.

CAP THE TOXINS AND LET THE LAND REST/ITS BRUTALLY WINDY OUT THERE MOST OF THE TIME

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

UPC SHOULD BE FORCED TO CLEAN IT UP, AT THEIR OWN EXPENSE, AND NOTHING THAT INVOLVES PEOPLE LIVING OR WORKING ON THAT LAND SHOULD EVEN BE CONSIDERED UNTIL UPC DOES CLEAN IT UP. UPC IS NOT GOOD FOR BRISBANE, AND NEITHER ARE THEIR SHILLS LIKE XX WHO ADVOCATED HUMAN HOUSING ON THAT LAND.

THAT FOR DECADES WASTE CONTAINING ASBESTOS LEAD PAINT AND GOD KNOWS WHAT ELSE HAS BEEN DUMPED THERE I WOULD ALSO REFER THEM TO THAT DEVELOPMENT IN DALY CITY THAT FAILED SO HORRIBLY IN SO MANY WAYS

ENERGY GENERATION; COMMERCIAL USE ENTERTAINMENT VENUE. ANYTHING BUT HOUSING. HOUSING CAN WAIT UNTIL WE HAVE TECHNOLOGY TO EVALUATE AND MITIGATE THE TOXIC STEW BREWING UNDER THE GROUND

I WOULD TELL PEOPLE TO SUPPORT CREBL'S PLAN FOR A SOLAR FARM OR SUPPORT LIMITED DEVELOPMENT WITH NO HOUSING

CLEAN ENERGY PRODUCTION SOLAR/WIND. NO HOUSING C.R.E.B. PLANS IS BEST

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED WITH RENEWABLE ENERGY SOURCES AND PARKS IN MIND, AND WITH THE POSSIBILITY OF SMALL RETAILERS IN MIND.

BAYLANDS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED IN SUCH A WAY THAT BRISBANE FULLY BENEFITS, I.E. THROUGH RENEWAL ENERGY FARMS, RECREATIONAL SPACE, IMPROVED PUBLIC TRANSPORT LINKS/HUB, LIMITED RESIDENTIAL HOUSING PROVIDED THAT THE LAND IS CONTAMINANT SAFE

ENERGY PRODUCTION THAT WILL BENEFIT THE ENTIRE REGION, AND THE RAILYARD SHOULD BE PLACED THERE FOR REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION NEEDS. ENOUGH HOUSING WILL BE BUILT BY SF AND DALY CITY.

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE INDUSTRIAL USES, INCLUDING RENEWABLE ENERGY GENERATION AND PERHAPS A RAILYARD (ALSO RECOLOGY EXPANSION) THAT WOULD NOT REQUIRE EXTENSIVE REMEDIATION OF THE BURIED CONTAMINANTS.

BAYLANDS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED WITH THE GOAL OF PROVIDING SOLAR AND WIND GENERATION CAPABILITY. EXISTING BUSINESSES ON THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE SUPPORTED. THE ROUNDHOUSE SHOULD BE TURNED INTO A MUSEUM. HIGH PAYING SKILLED JOBS COULD BE LOCATED THERE WITH THE CONSTRUCTION OF HIGH SPEED/ELECTRIC TRAIN MAI

I WOULD TELL THEM WE SHOULD KEEP IT INDUSTRIAL AND DEVOTE SPACE TO CLEAN ENERGY GENERATION, AND GREEN MANUFACTURING PROCESSES. MAKE MUSEUMS OUT OF THE OLD BUILDINGS, OR PRESERVE THE RUINS, SO PEOPLE CAN SEE HOW THE BAYLANDS WERE INITIALLY DEVELOPED WHILE GETTING SOME INTERESTING LOCAL HISTORY AND

THE ONLY TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT THAT I WOULD SUPPORT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE RENEWABLE ENERGY FACILITIES THAT WORK WITH WIND, SOLAR, RECLAIMED WATER, AND EXPANDED SPACE FOR RECOLOGY.

DEVELOP WIND AND SOLAR TECHNOLOGIES  
SOLAR AND WIND POWER FOR SUSTAINABILITY

I AM NOT OPPOSED TO DEVELOPMENT OF ALL SORTS ON THE BAYLANDS, BUT I FEEL WE HAVE AN UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A REAL DIFFERENCE BY DEVELOPING A FIRST CLASS RENEWABLE ENERGY FACILITY WHILE AT THE SAME TIME MAKING LAND USE DECISIONS THAT MAKE THE MOST SENSE FOR THE GREATER COMMUNITY AND CAREFULLY CON

SOLAR AND WIND POWER GENERATION

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

THEY SHOULD HAVE A LOT OF OPEN SPACE LIKE PARKS AND RECREATIONAL AREAS WHERE PEOPLE CAN RELAX AND ENJOY AND A HOTEL WOULD BE FINE.

I'M CONCERNED THAT BRISBANE CITY GOVERNMENT IS STAGNANT AND UNINTERESTED IN PROMOTING DEVELOPMENT WITH INCREASED AMENITIES AND INCREASED USE OF THE BAYLANDS. THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED TO THE MOST EXTENT POSSIBLE TO BE MULTI-USE (HOUSING, RECREATIONAL, JOBS, TRANSIT) TO INCREASE AWARENESS OF USE THE AREA IN SUSTAINABLE, ENVIRONMENT FRIENDLY, AND COMMUNITY ORIENTED PURPOSE RATHER THAN COMMERCIAL

TURN THE BAYLANDS INTO A SHOWCASE FOR AFFORDABLE, SUSTAINABLE, ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE, CREATIVE, ETHICAL, AND COOPERATIVE LIVING (INCLUDING WORKING)AND ONLY ALLOW BUSINESSES/DEVELOPERS INTO THE AREA THAT WILL OPERATE UNDER THESE IDEALS.

DEVELOP IT FOR THE BENEFIT OF ALL BAY AREA RESIDENTS. IT IS AN EYESORE AND VALUABLE, WASTED SPACE AT THE MOMENT.

WE NEED TO ENSURE THAT DEVELOPMENT (WHICH I THINK CANNOT BE STOPPED) FITS WITH THE NEEDS OF BRISBANE PROPER. WE NEED TO INFLUENCE THE DEVELOPMENT SO THAT WE CAN GAIN MORE OUT OF RESOURCES SPENT THEIR TO ENHANCE OUR NEIGHBORHOOD.

WE NEED TO CONSIDER THE GENERAL PLAN, DECIDE WHAT WORKS BEST FOR OUR COMMUNITY, AND ASSESS THE COMPETING INTERESTS TO MAKE A DECISION ABOUT THE BAYLANDS.

A GREAT OPPORTUNITY FOR AN INTELLIGENT DEVELOPMENT AND 'INTELLIGENT' IS CHALLENGING TERM AND I'M NOT SURE THOSE INVOLVED CAN COMPROMISE FOR THE GREATER GOOD

CREATE A WORLD-CLASS EXAMPLE OF SUSTAINABILITY.

STOP THE CONSTANT MOVING OF DIRT WHICH FILLS OUR HOMES AND LUNGS. MAKE THE BAYLANDS A DEVELOPMENT MODEL BY BALANCING ECONOMIC, ENVIRONMENTAL AND COMMUNITY NEEDS.

WATCH THIS PROJECT CLOSELY AND MAKE SURE IT IS NOT TOTALLY OUT OF SCALE AND THAT IT IS COMPLETELY COMPATIBLE WITH BRISBANE AS IT STANDS TODAY! FURTHER, DUE TO HUGE PROFITS THAT DEVELOPERS CAN GENERATE WITH SUCH LARGE DEVELOPMENT, MAKE SURE THE DEVELOPMENT IS BOTH ATTRACTIVE, AFFORDABLE, AND GENERAT

AFFORDABLE HOUSING FOR LOW-INCOME FAMILIES MIXED IN WITH MARKET-RATE HOUSING. RETAIL SPACE, OPEN SPACE, RECREATION, AND TRANSIT. A CALTRAIN STATION WITHIN WALKING DISTANCE OF DOWNTOWN BRISBANE!

I WOULD SAY EXPAND HOUSING, RETAIL, DINING AND BUSINESSES IN THE RATIO OF THE CURRENT FOOTPRINT OF BRISBANE. AN EXPLOSION OF ANY OF THESE WILL WRECK THE CHARACTER AND QUALITY OF LIFE IN THIS TOWN. FOCUS ON IMMEDIATE NEEDS LIKE AFFORDABLE HOUSING, JOB GROWTH AND TRANSPORTATION. DON'T WORRY ABOUT LURI DIG ALL THE TOXINS OUT (DON'T JUST COVER THEM OVER). PUT A CLEAN RAIL YARD FOR CAL HIGH SPEED RAIL IN WHERE THE DIRT WAS DUG OUT. BUILD HOUSING/OFFICE/RETAIL OVER THE RAIL YARD. BUILD UP, NOT OUT ALL OVER THE BAYLANDS. FOCUS DEVELOPMENT IN ONE HIGH DENSITY NODE ABOVE THE RAIL YARD. THEN, IN THE

I THINK THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED INTO HOUSING, AND RETAIL. THE CITY OF BRISBANE HAS VERY FEW RETAIL SHOPS, WHICH FORCES ME TO SHOP IN DALY CITY OR SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO BECAUSE I CANNOT GET WHAT I NEED HERE. I ALSO THINK THAT SOME NEW HOUSING UNITS SHOULD BE BUILT TO HELP ALLEVIATE THE HOUSI

IT SHOULD BE TURNED INTO A BEAUTIFUL OUTDOOR SPACE THAT PEOPLE WANT TO LIVE, WORK, AND VISIT. IT SHOULD FEEL LIKE A SMALL COMMUNITY AND NOT LIKE MISSION BAY IN SF. I WANT A NICE GROCERY STORE, RESTAURANTS, AND ENOUGH PEOPLE LIVING NEARBY TO SUPPORT STORES. IT SHOULD BE FILLED WITH TREES AND PLANTS A



**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

MOVE BUSINESSES IN CROCKER PARK TO THE BAYLANDS AND ADD HOUSING IN CROCKER PARK. THIS COULD ACTUALLY UNIFY THE TWO PARTS OF THE CITY OF BRISBANE.

THERE IS A CRITICAL HOUSING SHORTAGE IN THIS AREA.

LIMITED DEVELOPMENT WITH HOUSING AND THAT WOULD BRING MORE SERVICES AND SHOPS TO THE CITY OF BRISBANE

THE BAYLANDS NEEDS TO BE DEVELOPED TO ADD VALUE TO THE RESIDENTS OF BRISBANE. MORE REVENUE, MORE SHOPPING OPTIONS. AND TO HELP BRISBANE MOVE FORWARD.

DEVELOPMENT SHOULD OCCUR ON THE BAYLANDS. WE NEED TO IMPROVE OUR FINANCIAL HEALTH AS A CITY AND THIS WILL MOVE US INTO THAT DIRECTION. I AM WORRIED ABOUT HOUSING BECAUSE I DON'T THINK IT WILL BE SAFE AND I ALSO AM CONCERNED IT WILL IMPACT THE STRENGTH OF OUR VOTES. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE SHOPPING AND D

DEVELOPING THE BAYLANDS IS A NEEDED OPPORTUNITY FOR OUR CITY. IT WILL GENERATE MUCH NEEDED TAX REVENUES IF THE BUILT A COSTCO THEIR. LOOK AT SOUTH SAN FRANCISCO THE COSTCO ON AIRPORT AND EL CAMINO PROVIDED THEIR CITY WITH ENOUGH TAX REVENUES THAT IS FUNDS THE FIRE DEPART, POLICE DEPARTMENT WITH RE

NEED A BETTER TRANSIT HUB, BETTER SHOPS AND RESTAURANTS WHICH LOCALS (AND PEOPLE FROM THE SURROUNDING AREAS) CAN GO TO. ALSO, BETTER PARKS WHERE FAMILIES CAN HANG OUT AT. I THINK SOLAR PANELS IS ANOTHER GREAT IDEA.

I THINK ANY DEVELOPMENT ON THE BAYLANDS SHOULD CONNECT RESIDENTIAL BRISBANE WITH OUR BEAUTIFUL NATURAL BAY COAST AND ALL THE POTENTIAL COMMUNITY AMENITIES THEIR (TRAILS, BIKING, VIEWS, ETC.) WHILE ALSO BETTER INTEGRATING BRISBANE INTO OUR REGIONAL TRANSIT SYSTEMS AND CONSIDERING BRISBANE'S RESPONSIB

THAT THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE CAREFULLY DEVELOPED TO INCLUDE NEW HOUSING, RETAIL AND ENTERTAINMENT OPTIONS TO EXPAND OUR COMMUNITY IN A THOUGHTFUL AND ENGAGING WAY.

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE APPROVED DEVELOPED IN SECTIONS. THE ENTIRE PROJECT DOES NOT NEED TO BE APPROVED AND IMPLEMENTED. AS TECHNOLOGY CHANGES IN THE FUTURE, REQUIREMENTS AND CODES SHOULD ALLOW FOR CHANGES IN THE PROJECT. THE PROJECT SHOULD INCLUDE OFFICE, RETAIL, HOUSING AND RECREATIONAL FACILITIES.

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE IT DEVELOPED INTO RECLAIMED WETLANDS, RENEWABLE ENERGY FARMS AND SOME RETAIL/HOUSING (NEAR TRANSIT)/LIGHT INDUSTRY AND OFFICE SPACE

GET IT DONE!

DEVELOP IT.

LET'S DEVELOP IT. I'M JUST WORRIED ABOUT TRAFFIC!

IT NEEDS TO BE DEVELOPED. IT'S AN EYESORE AND A WASTE OF VERY USABLE LAND.

DEVELOP IT.

MAKE A DECISION. GET SOMETHING DONE. ANYTHING IS BETTER THAN STALL WE ARE IN.

I WISH REAL WORK WOULD BEGIN . . .

NO HIGH RISES. SHOULD BE LOW DENSITY HOUSING ONLY. LAGOON SHOULD BE UNTOUCHED. BARE SOIL SHOULD BE COVERED BY VEGETATION. A TRADER JOE KIND OF GROCERY WOULD BE NICE.

WHAT I FEEL SHOULD HAVE BEEN DONE WAS STEPPING UP TO PROVIDE THE NEW HOME TO THE 49ERS, BUILDING THE STADIUM AT THE NORTH END WITH NEW TRANSIT CENTER, SHOPPING, HOUSING AND OPEN SPACE BUT THAT WAS IN MY OPINION WAY OVER THE HEADS AND FUTURE THINKING OF BRISBANE. AN EFFICIENT TRANSIT CENTER, HOUSING

THE HIGHLY CONTAMINATED PARTS OF THE LAND SHOULD BE CLOSED OFF AND LEFT TO NATURE. THE PARTS OF THE AREA THAT ARE NOT DEEMED CONTAMINATED COULD BE USED FOR RECREATION, OPEN SPACE, AN ENVIRONMENTAL CENTER TO TEACH ABOUT HAZARDS OF LANDFILL, AND OTHER PROCESSES THAT LEFT THE LAND CONTAMINATED.

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

I WOULDN'T TELL THEM; I WOULD ADVISE THEM TO READ THE MATERIALS AND MAKE UP THEIR OWN MINDS.

I WOULD SAY THAT IT'S REALLY HARD TO HAVE AN OPINION WITHOUT BEING PRIVY TO ALL OF THE FACTS. I TRUST OUR ELECTED OFFICIALS TO MAKE GOOD DECISIONS IN CONJUNCTION WITH INPUT FROM THE COMMUNITY. I DO NOT THINK DEVELOPERS WILL HAVE BRISBANE'S BEST INTERESTS AT HEART, AND I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT THE BAYLA

N/A

NO COMMENT

I'M NOT ONE TO DISCUSS THESE ISSUES

IT SHOULD BE SCALED APPROPRIATELY WITH THE EXISTING SMALL TOWN OF BRISBANE AS THE CENTER. IT SHOULD BE A SIGNIFICANT NEIGHBORHOOD, WITH FEATURES SCALED AND CONNECTED, TO AVOID "US AND THEM."

Create a world-class example of sustainability.

TO BUILD ON THE WISHES OF THE COMMUNITY/COMMUNITY AND THE SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT SHALL BE THE DECIDING FACTOR.

UTILIZE IN SUCH A WAY WHERE IT IS SAFE FOR ALL!

Stop the constant moving of dirt which fills our homes and lungs. Make the Baylands a development model by balancing economic, environmental and community needs.

Watch this project closely and make sure it is not totally out of scale and that it is completely compatible with Brisbane as it stands today! Further, due to huge profits that developers can generate with such large development, make sure the development is both attractive, affordable, and generat

DEVELOP WISELY BUT ROBUSTLY SO MORE PEOPLE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ENJOY THIS CITY, ITS PROXIMITY TO THE WATER AND MORE AMENITIES TO ENHANCE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR OUR CHILDREN

DEVELOP RESPONSIBLY

IT IS A VALUABLE RESOURCE THAT SHOULD BE DEVELOPED

I FEEL IT SHOULD BE DEVELOPED TO HELP THE CITY OF BRISBANE IMPROVE WITH THEIR GROWTH AND COULD HELP THE ECONOMY HERE DURING A VOLATILE TIME

SHOULD ALLOW NEW DEVELOPMENTS THAT MAKE SENSE TO BRISBANE SO BRISBANE CAN GROW AND PROSPER LIKE OTHER CITIES

HOUSING NEAR PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION COMMERCIAL AND RETAIL STORES, PARKS

THIS LARGE PLOT OF LAND IS A UNIQUE OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP A HIGH QUALITY SATE OF THE ART INTEGRATED PROJECT WITH SHOPS HOUSING OPEN SPACE WITH RENEWABLE ENERGY ON ROOFTOPS. PROJECT MUST BE WELL THOUGHT OUT TO ATTRACT POSITIVE USERS FROM ACROSS THE BAY A

DEVELOP RESIDENTIAL/COMMERCIAL/RETAIL WITH STORES, RESTAURANTS, ETC.; SOME RECREATION AND SOME ENERGY GENERATION - PERHAPS PLACE ENERGY GENERATION ELSEWHERE IS BRISBANE, AS WELL.

I THINK THAT THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE A MULTI-USE AREA COMBINING HOUSING, SMALL RETAIL BUSINESSES, OPEN AREAS FOR TRAILS, RECREATION, ETC AND GENERATING ENOUGH ENERGY TO MEET ITS ECON NEEDS

MINIMAL HOUSING WITH SOME BASIC RETAIL, GROCERY STORE ETC, SOME PARKS AND TRAILS, A HIGH SCHOOL, MORE TRANSIT OPTIONS

HOUSING BY PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION GROCERY AND RETAIL OPTIONS DINING BIKE LANES WALKING TRAILS DOG/KIDS PARK CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL

SOME HOUSING UNITS NEXT TO PUBLIC TRANSPORT (CAL TRAIN, MUNI) RETAIL AND DINING SPACE BIKE/WALK TRAILS, PARK, CHARTER HIGH SCHOOL

SOME HOUSING, TRADER JOES, BIKE TRAILS, BUSINESSES, PARKS, OFFICES, RESTAURANTS, HIGH SCHOOL

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

ADD HOUSING, SHOPPING, RESTAURANTS, PARKS IN A VISUALLY APPEALING WAY. SALACITY COMPETITION BETWEEN PROSPECTIVE DEVELOPERS TO ENSURE COSTS ARE MINIMAL AND CREATIVITY IS ENHANCED.

FAIRLY HIGH DENSITY HOUSING AND RETAIL; TRANSIT HUB; PLEASE BEWARE: IT IS THE MOST LIKELY SITE FOR THE BULLET TRAIN YARD. EMINENT DOMAIN!

affordable housing for low-income families mixed in with market-rate housing. retail space, open space, recreation, and transit. A CalTrain station within walking distance of downtown Brisbane!

I would say expand housing, retail, dining and businesses in the ratio of the current footprint of Brisbane. An explosion of any of these will wreck the character and quality of life in this town. Focus on immediate needs like affordable housing, job growth and transportation. Don't worry about luri

dig all the toxins OUT (don't just cover them over). put a clean rail yard for Cal High Speed Rail in where the dirt was dug out. Build housing/office/retail OVER the rail yard. Build UP, not out all over the baylands. focus development in one high density node above the rail yard. Then, in the

I think the Baylands should be developed into housing, and retail. The city of Brisbane has very few retail shops, which forces me to shop in Daly City or South San Francisco because I cannot get what I need here. I also think that some new housing units should be built to help alleviate the housi

It should be turned into a beautiful outdoor space that people want to live, work, and visit. It should feel like a small community and not like Mission Bay in SF. I want a nice grocery store, restaurants, and enough people living nearby to support stores. It should be filled with trees and plants a

FINDING A COMPROMISE FEW HOUSING, LARGE PARKS, SOME SHOPPING HOUSING ONLY IF PROPERLY CLEANED AND THOROUGHLY

SMALL PORTION HOUSING/ MED PORTION PARK/LARGE PORTION TECHNICAL/OFFICES

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE CAREFULLY DEVELOPED WITH AN EMPHASIS TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING RENTALS AND CREATION OF PARKS AND BETTER USE OF THE LAGOON AREAS

HOMES, PARKS, NEW LIBRARY, SOCCER, FARMS

HOUSING AND OTHER DEVELOPMENTS ARE OK, BUT TO SET LIMITS TOWARDS CONTAINMENT AND REMEDIATION

SOME HOUSING IS OKAY RENEWABLE ENERGY COULD BE THE BEST USE FOR BRISBANE PARKS TRAILS RECREATION ALSO IMPORTANT

SMALL COMMUNITY OF HOUSING SOME OFFICE/RETAIL SPORT FIELD/PARK

OK TO ADD SOME RESIDENTIAL AND LIGHT COMMERCIAL TO GENEVA AND NORTH PARKS AND REC ONLY SOUTH OF GENEVA. ONCE THIS OPEN AREA TURNED INTO BULK HOUSING-VIEWS SPOILED-OPEN LOST FOREVER-BRISBANE SWAMPED

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE DEVELOPMENT AND HOUSING IN THE BAYLANDS, BUT I WOULD NOT BE UPSET IF NOTHING EVER HAPPENED WITH THE PROJECT.

APARTMENTS (LOW-INCOME) IS NEEDED.

TAKE ADVANTAGE OF HAVING TRAIN STATION AND BEING CLOSE TO SF JOBS HUB AND BUILD HOUSING

CREATE HOUSING; ADDITIONAL SERVICES; JOBS

NOT AFFORDABLE HOUSING, BUT RESIDENTIAL MARKET HOUSING AND RETAIL SPACE (RESTAURANTS, GAS STATION, SHOPS)

PROVIDE FOR HOUSING AFFORDABLE TO WORKING FAMILIES.

Move businesses in Crocker Park to the Baylands and add Housing in Crocker Park. This could actually unify the two parts of the City of Brisbane.

IT'S GOING TO BE A TOUGH CALL. IT'S HARD TO TRUST THE PROFIT MOTIVES OF LARGE CORPORATIONS BUT A LIMITED NUMBER OF HOUSING UNITS COULD BE A GOOD THING IF SAFE

There is a critical housing shortage in this area.

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

CREATE WORLD'S BEST PRIVATE EXCLUSIVE GOLF COURSE. SURROUND IT WITH SALES TAX GENERATING RETAIL HOTELS AND RESTAURANTS AND SHOPS. SURROUND ALL OF THAT WITH PUBLIC PARKS FUNDED BY THE RICH GOLFERS LIKE AUGUSTA SPYGLASS CARMEL. CREATE RR MUSEUM LARGE TOUR

GOLF COURSE PARKLAND WILDLIFE REFUGE(WHAT WILL HAPPEN TO EXISTING WILDLIFE?)

MINIMAL DEVELOPMENT THAT PRESERVES BEAUTY AND INTEGRITY OF BRISBANE

GET-ASK-LOOK AROUND FOR SIMILAR PLACES-MAKE WHATEVER THEY DO BLEND IN WITH - MOUNTAINS-BAY ETC

SMALL SCALE DEVELOPMENT, FOCUSING ON RETAIL STORES AND OUTDOOR ACTIVITIES WALKING AND BIKING TRAILS

SUPPORT LIMITED BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT AND BEAUTIFICATION.

VERY FEW BUILDINGS AND NOT 1 STORY, GIGANTIC WAREHOUSE STORES. PLANT TREES.

REMEMBER: DEVELOPERS ANSWER TO SHAREHOLDERS, NOT TO CITIZENS/RESIDENTS OF BRISBANE. DO NOT LET SLICK, WELL-FUNDED DEVELOPERS PULL THE WOOL OVER OUR EYES. KEEP IT SIMPLE AND NATURAL.

VERY LIMITED DEVELOPMENT DUE TO CONTAMINATED LAND.

Limited development with housing and that would bring more services and shops to the city of Brisbane

A CONTROLLED AND LIMITED AMOUNT OF DEVELOPMENT IS NEEDED. THE DEVELOPMENT SHOULD INCLUDE SOME MODERATE INCOME HOUSING AND RESTAURANT AND RETAIL SPACE BUT SHOULD NOT INCLUDE BIG BOX STORES OR APARTMENT BUILDINGS

MODEST, INCREMENTAL CHANGES THAT ENHANCE THE COMMUNITIES APPEARANCE AND NATURAL AND RETAIL WITH SMALL AMOUNTS OF HOUSING/IT SHOULD NOT BE ALL OR NOTHING APPROACH.

ANY DEVELOPMENT ON THE BAYLAND SHOULD BE LIMITED AND MOST OF THE LAND SHOULD BE LEFT OPEN WITH WETLANDS RESTORATION USED AS A WAY TO PROVIDE FLOOD PROTECTION, WILDLIFE HABITAT PRESERVATION, AND AS A RELIEF FROM URBAN PRESSURE.

IT SHOULD BE MINIMALLY DEVELOPED AND KEPT IN THE CHARACTER OF BRISBANE.

MINIMAL EXPANSION

UNSCRUPULOUS PEOPLE WANT TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF A SMALL TOWN IN ORDER TO MAKE MONEY

SHOULD DEVELOP REASONABLE GROWTH IN COMMERCIAL AND RESIDENTIAL RESOURCES. DEVELOPERS MUST FUND ADDITIONAL PUBLIC UTILITY AND INFRASTRUCTURE TO ACCOMMODATE GROWTH

DON'T TOO MANY PEOPLE, CARS, ETC.

LITTLE AS POSSIBLE/TOO CROWDED AND TOO MUCH TRAFFIC

MINIMAL DEVELOPMENT OR VERY LOW-DENSITY DEVELOPMENT

The Baylands needs to be developed to add value to the residents of Brisbane. More revenue, more shopping options. And to help Brisbane move forward.

HOPEFULLY DEVELOPMENT THAT WILL BRING BRISBANE TAX INCOME/RETAIL DEVELOPMENT PRIMARILY. ALSO LIGHT INDUSTRY LIKE ENERGY, TECH, BIO. PARKLANDS AND GREEN SPACE. MAYBE SCHOOLS AND ABSOLUTELY NO HOUSING EVER AS I DON'T BELIEVE THE CONTAMINATED AREA WILL EVER

I FAVOR DEVELOPMENT THAT PRODUCES TAX REVENUES SUCH AS HOTELS, CAR DEALERSHIPS, GAS STATION, FAST FOOD AND BIG VOLUME STORES LIKE FURNITURE AND ELECTRONICS AND APPLIANCES

MAKE SOME JOBS; MAKE SOME U.S.-MADE GOODS THAT ARE TAXABLE/REVENUE PRODUCING; NO HOUSING; BRING IN THE RAILROAD.

I WOULD BE OK TO SEE OFFICE SPACES GO IN FOR TECH, BIOTECH. NO HOUSING. BUT VERY LIMITED. NO SHOPPING PLACES OR MALLS.

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

ADD VALUE TO BRISBANE BUSINESSES THAT GENERATE TAX REVENUE, PARKS AND OPEN SPACE

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE DEVELOPMENT THAT DRAWS IN MUCH NEEDED TAX REVENUE (NET HOUSING)/RETAIL AND COMMERCIAL ESTABLISHMENTS/HOTELS -- YES!

Development should occur on the baylands. We need to improve our financial health as a city and this will move us into that direction. I am worried about housing because I don't think it will be safe and I also am concerned it will impact the strength of our votes. I would like to see shopping and d

DO SOMETHING GOOD WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT IT FOR 25 YEARS.

GENERATING INCOME FOR THE CITY IS MORE IMPORTANT TO ME THAN PROVIDING MORE HOUSING.

NEEDS TO BE DEVELOPED IN A DESTINATION AREA WHERE PEOPLE OUTSIDE OF BRISBANE WILL COME AND SPEND THEIR MONEY. CURRENT RESIDENTS LEAVE BRISBANE TO GO TO NEIGHBORING CITIES FOR EATING OUT, ENTERTAINMENT AND GROCERY

ATTRACT INCOME PRODUCING OPPORTUNITIES

DEVELOP BAYLANDS TO BRING IN MONEY FOR BRISBANE DO IT QUICKLY OR FACE HIGH SPEED RAIL FORCED DOWN OUR THROATS

ATTRACTING INCOME PRODUCING OPPORTUNITIES

I HAVE CHATTED WITH FRIENDS ABOUT HOW MUCH CONTROL BRISBANE IS HAVING OVER THE OWNERS OF THE PROPERTY. IT'S TIME TO DEVELOP. SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS NEED A NEW HOBBY. STOP DELAYING PROGRESS

SHOULD BE DEVELOPED TO GENERATE POSITIVE REVENUE TO THE CITY.

Developing the Baylands is a needed opportunity for our city. It will generate much needed tax revenues if the built a Costco their. Look at South San Francisco the Costco on Airport and El Camino provided their city with enough tax revenues that is funds the fire depart, police department with re

PUT A SPORTING VENUE THERE LIKE THE GOLDEN STATE WARRIORS

WHATEVER THEY DECIDE TO BUILD BRISBANE RESIDENTS SHOULD BE ABLE TO WORK THERE IF THEY ARE QUALIFIED

Need a better transit hub, better shops and restaurants which locals (and people from the surrounding areas) can go to. Also, better parks where families can hang out at. I think solar panels is another great idea.

MULTI-USE WITH TRANSIT HUB; SOME BUSINESS, SOME RESIDENTIAL, AND SOME OPEN SPACE/HABITAT; SOME STORES / SERVICES LIKE GAS STATION AND GROCERY STORE AND RESTAURANTS.

CREEK AND WETLANDS RESTORATION TRANSIT HUB. EXTEND GENEVA TO FREEWAY, RETAIL AND OFFICE SPACE AT NORTH END AND OPEN SPACE AT SOUTH END

WOULD LIKE TO SEE A TRANSIT HUB. SOME RETAIL DEVELOPMENT. BUT PRIMARILY DEVOTED TO GENERATION OF ALTERNATIVE ENERGY. RECREATION FACILITIES WOULD BE A PLUS

I think any development on the Baylands should connect residential brisbane with our beautiful natural bay coast and all the potential community amenities their (trails, biking, views, etc.) while also better integrating brisbane into our regional transit systems and considering Brisbane's responsib

SET IT UP AS A TRANSIT POINT, SET IT UP FOR INDUSTRY BUT IT WILL NEVER HAPPEN.

DEVELOPERS WILL GET MOST BANG FOR THEIR INVESTMENT DOLLAR THAN LEAVE THE TOWN OR COUNTY TO DEAL WITH ANY AND ALL FALLOUT

IT SHOULD GROW BRISBANE COMMUNITY INCLUDING HOUSING, SHOPS, GROCERIES, OPEN SPACE, WITH SIDEWALKS

That the Baylands should be carefully developed to include new housing, retail and entertainment options to expand our community in a thoughtful and engaging way.

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR BRISBANE TO MAKE IT A CITY THAT FAMILIES STRIVE TO LIVE IN; AS A PLACE WITH GOOD SCHOOLS, GOOD RETAIL OPTIONS AND GOOD DINING OPTIONS. BRISBANE CAN BE A TOP NOTCH SUBURB OF S.F., INSTEAD OF A CITY THAT NO ONE HAS HEARD OF.

BUILD A HIGH SCHOOL AND A HUB FOR TRAIN STATION THAT IS EASILY ACCESSED FROM RESIDENTIAL AREA

EDUCATION SCIENCE-20PERCENT/OTHER LIGHT INDUSTRY-OFFICE  
30PERCENT/PARK,REC,ENVIORN. 50 PERCENT

INDUSTRIAL AND RETAIL WITH SOME ENTERTAINMENT DEVELOPMENT. KEEPING AWAY FROM GENOA TOWERS TYPE OF HOUSING, WHICH COST MORE TO POLICE THAN YOU CAN GET OUT OF THEM (TAXES).

The Baylands should be approved developed in sections. The entire project does not need to be approved and implemented. As technology changes in the future, requirements and codes should allow for changes in the project. The project should include office,retail, housing and recreational facilities.

I THINK WE SHOULD HAVE SOME OFFICE SPACE. LOTS OF PARK SPACE AND SOLAR AND WIND FARM.

LIGHT INDUSTRY AND CLEAN UP ALONG WESTERN EDGES CLEAN UP AND PARK AND LIGHT RECREATION POSSIBLE HOTEL AND CONVENTION CENTER NE CORNER

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE BIO-TECH FIRMS, SOLAR AND WIND POWERED GENERATORS, RETAIL AND OFFICES, HOTELS, RESTAURANTS-NO HOUSING

I would like to see it developed into reclaimed wetlands, renewable energy farms and some retail/housing (near transit)/light industry and office space

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE ENTIRE AREA TURNED INTO A WETLANDS WILDLIFE PRESERVE WITH WALKING TRAILS AND BIKE PATHS. WE NEED OPEN SPACE AND PROTECTION FROM RISING WATER LEVELS IN THE BAY

REMOVE ALL FILL AND RETURN IT TO THE WETLAND IT WAS 100 YEARS AGO, BEFORE IGNORANT PEOPLE SUCH A MESS OF IT.

THOUGH THE BAY AREA NEED MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING, THE CONTAMINATION THE LAND IS NOT WELL ENOUGH CHARACTERIZED TO PUT PEOPLE AT RISK. GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO DEVELOP THE AREA FOR R AND D/COMMERCIAL NEAR THE TRAIN STOP, GENERATE REVENUE FOR CITY, PRESERVE SMA

NEVER ALLOW ANYONE TO LIVE OR WORK THERE IN NEW DEVELOPMENT UNLESS PROVEN REMEDIATION/REMOVAL OF ALL TOXICS IS DONE. NEED TO LOOK AT OLD SITES THAT HAVE BEEN DEVELOPED TO SEE HOW THEY FARE

NO HOUSING ON CONTAMINATED AREA

BUILD-IT WILL BE BENEFICIAL FOR ALL-WE WENT THROUGH THE SAME THING WHEN WE BUILT THE COMMUNITY PARK-SOME THOUGHT IT WOULD NOT BE USED-THEY WANTED THE DIRT HILL. CONNECT THE BAY TRAIL INTO BRISBANE THE RIDGE

BUILD, BUILD -- GET RID OF THE EYE-SORE WE HAVE LOOKED AT FOR THE LAST 20 YEARS! IT WILL BE BEAUTIFUL AND SAFE -- BUILDING CODES HAVE CHANGED!

SHOULD BE DEVELOPED AS SO S.F. IS EXPEDITING AND DOING THEIR APPROVALS FOR OFFICE/TECHNICAL BUSINESSES

WE NEED TO DO SOMETHING WITH THE AREA BECAUSE IT IS CURRENTLY A GREAT SPOT OF LAND WASTING AWAY WHEN IT COULD BE SOMETHING AMAZING

Get it done!

Develop it.

IT HAS TO BE DEVELOPED. DOING NOTHING IS NOT AN OPTION. THE OPPORTUNITY WILL PASS US BY IF NOTHING DONE.

let's develop it. I'm just worried about traffic!

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

I THINK IT SHOULD BE DEVELOPED AND NO NEW TAXES BE PLACED ON RESIDENTS OF TOWN. ANY FUNDS GENERATED GO TOWARD INFRASTRUCTURE THROUGHOUT BRISBANE, AS WELL AS ADDRESS INCREASED CONGESTION.

It needs to be developed. It's an eyesore and a waste of very usable land.

THE BAYLANDS SHOULD BE DEVELOPED.

develop it.

MOVE ON IT!

Make a decision. Get something done. Anything is better than stall we are in.

IT SHOULD BE DEVELOPED

I wish real work would begin . . .

1) LET'S USE TRAFFIC ROUND-ABOUTS, INSTEAD OF STOP SIGNS AT INTERSECTIONS, TO REDUCE POLLUTION; AND 2) I WOULD LIKE TO SEE BRISBANE LAZE LEADERSHIP ROLE/BY EXAMPLE IN THE BAY AREA, BY ENCOURAGING NEW GREEN TECHNOLOGIES.

PRESERVE THE BAYLANDS BY NOT OVER BUILDING HIGH-RISES, FACTORIES, HOTELS, SHOPPING MALLS -- IT IS TOXIC -- PROTECT OUR FAMILIES. ALTERNATIVE ENERGY PLAN -- (LOW WIND TURBINES) SUSTAINABILITY AND KEEP TRAFFIC TO A MINIMUM.

No high rises. Should be low density housing only. Lagoon should be untouched. Bare soil should be covered by vegetation. A Trader Joe kind of grocery would be nice.

What I feel should have been done was stepping up to provide the new home to the 49ers, building the stadium at the north end with new transit center, shopping, housing and open space but that was in my opinion way over the heads and future thinking of Brisbane. An efficient transit center, housing

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE AREA DESIGNED TO BE MORE RESILIENT IN FACE OF CLIMATE CHANGE WITH NATURAL RESERVOIRS AND BERMS TO KEEP WATER ON SITE, ALTERNATIVE ENERGY THAT DOES NOT OBSTRUCT VIEWS AND COMMUNITY CENTERS

The highly contaminated parts of the land should be closed off and left to nature. The parts of the area that are not deemed contaminated could be used for recreation, open space, an environmental center to teach about hazards of landfill, and other processes that left the land contaminated.

I wouldn't tell them; I would advise them to read the materials and make up their own minds.

DEVELOP IT THE WAY BAY MEADOWS SAN MATEO IS BEING DEVELOPED.

REOPEN CHAMPION SPEEDWAY

DIFFERENT ZIP CODE FOR MAIL

WHATEVER IS DONE SHOULD NOT GENERATE ADDITIONAL TRAFFIC CONGESTION OR COSTS TO EXISTING RESIDENTS FOR ADDITIONAL PUBLIC SERVICES AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS AND MAINTENANCE THAT WOULD RESULT FROM IT

SIMILAR TO OPINION EXPRESSED IN ANSWER 12. IN ADDITION, MORE RESIDENTS MAY CHANGE CITY POLITICS, HOWEVER IF THE NORTH EAST RIDGE IS ANY INDICATION, IT WILL BE ALONG WHILE BEFORE THE NEW RESIDENTS GET INVOLVED.

It should be developed as an attractive entry to the town of Brisbane.

WISH IT WAS AS I FIRST SAW IT SOME 50 YEARS AGO BAYLAND AND 50 MILES OF WATER (SF BAY)

IMPROVE THE QUALITY

PROS AND CONS OF EVERYTHING WE HAVE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT WE WANT TO KEEP BRISBANE

N/A

I would say that it's really hard to have an opinion without being privy to all of the facts. I trust our elected officials to make good decisions in conjunction with input from the community. I do not think developers will have Brisbane's best interests at heart, and I do not believe that the Bayla

FORM YOUR OWN OPINION

n/a

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

CLEARER SURVEY. EASIER TO FOLLOW. LESS DIFFICULT.

SEE MY CONCEPT IN NUMBER 12



**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

no comment

GET INVOLVED!

ITS COMPLICATED IT'S OURS OUR CITIZENS WILL DECIDE HOW IT WILL BEST SERVE US

**Question 18: Next, in a few words of your own, what would you tell a friend, neighbor, or coworker should be done with the Baylands?**

I'm not one to discuss these issues

I WOULD SAY-USE YOUR PERSONAL OPINION MAKE OUT YOUR OWN RESIDENT SURVEY